

Government Publications

TWO YEARS AFTER GRADUATION:

RESULTS OF A SURVEY OF 1976 DOCTORAL RECIPIENTS IN ONTARIO

PREPARED BY: BRIAN WOLFE W.B. WOLFE INC. 1979

This research project was funded under contract by the Ministry of Colleges and Universities, Ontario. It reflects the views of the author and not necessarily those of the Ministry.



CA24N DG T9789 Publications

CONTENTS

LIST OF TABLES

INTRODUCTION



	VERSITY OF TORO	
Section 1	LABOUR FORCE STATUS OF PH.D. RESPONDENTS	1
	Labour force status by discipline	4
	Non-employment: amount, type and reason for	8
	Summary	
Section 2	EMPLOYMENT OF PH.D. RESPONDENTS BY NON- UNIVERSITY EMPLOYERS	12
	The non-university educational sector	15
	The health care sector	16
	The government sector	19
	Industry	20
	Self-employed and other	23
	Summary	24
Section 3	EMPLOYMENT AT UNIVERSITIES	31
Section 4	JOB SATISFACTION	39
	Job satisfaction in the university research employment sector	46
	Job satisfaction in industry	49
	Job satisfaction of humanities and physical	
	science graduates in university teaching	52
	Summary of aspects of job satisfaction	56
Section 5	RECOMMENDATIONS	61
	Faculty projections	61
	Strengthening the link between doctoral programs and manpower requirements of industry	62
	Preserving the humanities	63

APPENDIX A:	Background Characteristics of Respondents	A-1 to A-5
APPENDIX B:	Job Satisfaction in University Teaching	B-1 to B-10
APPENDIX C:	Job Satisfaction by Field of Study, Employment Sector, and Primary Work Activity	C-1 to C-7
APPENDIX D:	Suitability of Employment to Educational Background	D-1 to D-2
APPENDIX E:	Earnings of Ph.D. Respondents	E-1 to E-2

APPENDIX F: Questionnaire



TABLES

1.1	Employment and participation rates by sex	2
1.2	Labour force status by field of study and sex	5
1.3	Labour force status by doctoral discipline and sex	
1.4	Reasons for non-employment by discipline and sex	9
2.1	Distribution of Ph.D. respondents in non-university employment sectors	13
2.2	Distribution of graduates in non-university educational sector by discipline and primary work activity	17
2.3	Distribution of graduates in health care sector by discipline and primary work activity	18
2.4	Distribution of graduates in government sector by discipline and primary work activity	21
2.5	Distribution of graduates in industry by discipline and primary work activity	
2.6	Per cent of field of study employed outside university sector	25
2.7	Distribution of jobs held by respondents in non- university sectors by primary activity	26
2.8	Distribution of respondents in non-university sectors by field of study	29
2.9	Distribution of respondents employed outside university sector by field of study and primary work activity	30
3.1	Employment of Ph.D. respondents at universities by discipline	32
3.2	Employment aspirations of respondents on entry to doctoral program by field of study	33
3.3	Surplus of university teachers by field of study	34
3.4	Extent to which employment aspirations influenced decision to pursue a doctoral degree	36
3.5	Teaching experience of current university faculty, prior to obtaining doctoral degree	37

4.1	Overall job satisfaction by employment sector	41
4.2	Overall job satisfaction by field of study	42
4.3	Overall job satisfaction by primary work activity	43
4.4	Overall job satisfaction by employment sector and primary work activity	44
4.5	Overall job satisfaction by employment sector and field of Ph.D.	45
4.6	Aspects of job satisfaction in the university research sector	48
4.7	Job satisfaction in industry	51
4.8	Part-time and contractually limited employment in university teaching sector by field of doctoral study	54
4.9	Aspects of job satisfaction by employment sector	57

The following additional tables are contained in the Appendices:

APPENDIX A

- A-l Response rate by University
- A-2 Area of specialization of Ph.D. graduates
- A-3 Age distribution of Ph.D. graduates
- A-4 Current residence of Ph.D. graduates
- A-5 Current residence and visa status of Ph.D. graduates

APPENDIX B

- B-l Degree of satisfaction of university teachers with earnings by doctoral field of study
- B-2 Degree of satisfaction of university teachers with status of position by doctoral field of study
- B-3 Degree of satisfaction of university teachers with their influence on decisions by doctoral field of study
- B-4 Degree of satisfaction of university teachers with educational level of colleagues

- B-5 Degree of satisfaction of university teachers with promotion/career prospects by doctoral field of study
- B-6 Degree of satisfaction of university teachers with opportunities to use specialized knowledge gained in doctoral study
- B-7 Degree of satisfaction of university teachers with research opportunities by doctoral field of study
- B-8 Degree of satisfaction of university teachers with opportunities for keeping up with developments in doctoral field of study
- B-9 Degree of satisfaction of university teachers with job security by doctoral field of study
- B-10 Degree of satisfaction of university teachers with challange of job by doctoral field of study

APPENDIX C

- C-1 Overall job satisfaction of education graduates by employment sector and primary work activity
- C-2 Overall job satisfaction of humanities graduates by employment sector and primary work activity
- C-3 Overall job satisfaction of social science graduates by employment sector and primary work activity
- C-4 Overall job satisfaction of agriculture and biological science graduates by employment sector and primary work activity
- C-5 Overall job satisfaction of engineering graduates by employment sector and primary work activity
- C-6 Overall job satisfaction of Health Science Graduates by employment sector and primary work activity
- C-7 Overall job satisfaction of mathematics and physical science graduates by employment sector and primary work activity

APPENDIX D

- D-1 Suitability of current employment by discipline and employment sector
- D-2 Suitability of current employment in university and non-university sectors by field of study

APPENDIX E

- E-1 Current income of Ph.D. graduates working full-time
- E-2 Number and percentage of Ph.D. graduates who are currently earning less than \$20,000 per year by discipline and sex

INTRODUCTION

Job markets for university graduates vary over time.

In the 1960's the market for university graduates in Canada was exceptionally good. Graduates were eagerly sought by employers, and their salaries rose more rapidly than those of other groups in the economy. But, a decade later, concern was being expressed from many quarters about the labour market experiences of the most recent university graduates.

Newspapers carried tales of woe of unemployed and underemployed university graduates. Articles and books were written about over-investment in post-secondary education. Commissions, formed to assess the effects on education of declining enrolments in elementary and secondary schools, recommended reductions in the number of people being trained as teachers.

This report looks at some aspects of the labour market experiences of those graduates who obtained doctoral degrees in 1976 from universities in Ontario. It focuses on the types of jobs these graduates obtained, and the extent of their satisfaction with these jobs.

Data

Statistics Canada, in co-operation with the provincial ministries responsible for university education, conducted in late 1978 and early 1979 a national survey of 1976 doctoral

degree recipients. The questionnaire of respondents who had earned their doctoral degrees in Ontario form the data base for this study. A copy of the questionnaire is found in Appendix F and background information about the respondents is contained in Appendix A.

Structure of the Report

The report is divided into five sections. The first section looks at the labour force status of the respondents as of December, 1978. The second and third sections examine the types of jobs respondents residing in Canada held at this time; the second section concentrates on the employment of graduates in the non-university sectors, while the third section looks at their employment in the university sector. The fourth section assesses the degree of satisfaction or dissatisfaction of respondents with various aspects of their jobs. The final section presents some recommendations concerning the connection between doctoral programs and labour markets.

Principal Findings

The main empirical findings of the report may be summarized as follows:

1) Extremely few Ph.D. graduates were not employed.

Slightly more than 95 per cent of the respondents were

working as of December, 1978. Only 3.1 per cent were involuntarily not employed and 1.5 per cent were voluntarily without work. Almost 90 per cent of the respondents held full-time jobs. (Section 1)

- 2) Although, overall, the rate of employment of graduates was very high, humanities graduates did not fare as well as graduates of other fields of study. Less than 75 per cent of the humanities graduates held full-time jobs, while 17 per cent were employed in part-time positions. Almost 8 per cent of the humanities graduates were involuntarily without work.

 The reason cited most often for their unemployment was a scarcity of positions related to their discipline.

 (Section 1)
- almost evenly divided between the university and non-university sectors. Universities employed 53.5 per cent of the respondents. Almost 85 per cent of the graduates employed by universities held university teaching positions; the majority of the remaining graduates held post-doctoral research positions.

 In the non-university sector, governments were the largest employers, with almost 17 per cent of the graduates working in this sector. Industry, although

the second largest employer in the non-university sector, hired only 10.7 per cent of the graduates (Sections 2, 3)

- 4) The distribution of graduates among employment sectors was affected by their field of study. For example almost two-thirds of the humanities graduates, but only slightly more than one-quarter of the engineering graduates, were employed by universities. For the most part, graduates of social science and humanities disciplines were more likely to be employed by universities. Psychology graduates, however, represent a major exception to this trend; nearly 65 per cent of these graduates held positions outside the university sector, the majority being employed in the health care sector. (Sections 2, 3)
- Positions involving primarily research or development activities accounted for about one-third of the jobs in the non-university sector; these positions were confined mainly to the government and industrial sectors, with these sectors providing 86 per cent of such positions. In the non-university sectors, science and engineering graduates were more likely to hold research or development positions. More than 60 per cent of these graduates, but less than 10 per

cent of the arts graduates worked in research or development; arts graduates tended to be administrators or consultants. (Section 2)

- hold faculty positions, there remains a significant number who would probably move into a university teaching position given the opportunity.

 An examination of employment aspirations reveals that one-quarter of the respondents residing in Canada may fall into this category; in the case of humanities, life and physical science graduates, the figure exceeds one-third. And employment aspirations represented an important factor in the decisions of respondents to pursue doctoral studies. About 70 per cent claimed that their employment aspirations considerably or very considerably affected their decisions. (Section 3)
- 7) Slightly more than two-thirds of the graduates claimed that their jobs were definitely suitable for someone with their level of education. However, responses varied considerably according to the discipline and employment sector of the graduates.

 Only slightly more than 50 per cent of the humanities and physical science graduates considered their

employment to be definitely suitable. In contrast, about 80 per cent of education, social science, and health science graduates claimed that their jobs. were definitely suitable. And, while more than 80 per cent of the university teachers felt that their jobs were definitely suitable, only 37.5 per cent of those in the non-university educational sector and 45 per cent of those in industry had similar feelings. Of particular concern is the fact that less than 20 per cent of the humanities graduates working outside the university teaching sector found their employment definitely suitable. (Appendix D)

full-time reported earnings less than \$15,000 per year. The graduates were most likely to be earning \$20,000 to \$25,000, with 37.4 per cent reporting earnings in this range. Social science, engineering, education, and health science graduates tended to earn more than graduates of other fields. Independent of the field of study, females generally earned less than their male counterparts; the probability of a female earning less than \$15,000 was almost twice that of a male. Salaries in the health care, self-employed, and non-university educational sectors were, for the most part, higher than those found in other sectors. (Appendix E)

- 9) More than three-quarters of the graduates reported overall satisfaction with their jobs. Graduates expressed most satisfaction with the educational level of their colleagues and the challenge of their work. Least satisfaction was expressed about job security and promotion prospects. (Section 4)
- Although, overall, the graduates seemed reasonably 10) satisfied with their jobs, three groups of graduates experienced relatively low levels of job satisfaction, namely: (a) graduates employed in industry, (b) graduates employed in the university research sector, and (c) humanities and physical science graduates employed as university teachers. graduates employed in industry, inadequate research opportunities appear to be the major reason for dissatisfaction. Graduates employed in the university research sector were concerned most about their job security, career prospects, and earnings. Contractually limited and part-time appointments caused dissatisfaction among the humanities and physical science graduates working as university teachers. (Section 4)

These results present a mixed picture. Virtually all graduates found employment (the notable exception to this is that graduates of the humanities had more difficulty finding jobs, particularly jobs that suited their education). Many graduates aspired to and obtained university appointments; there still remain, however, many graduates who would like a university appointment. A significant majority of graduates claimed that they were satisfied with their current jobs; at the same time a number of these graduates expressed concern about job security and career or promotion prospects. In short, although the graduates of 1976 have fared relatively well to date, the future looks considerably bleaker for these graduates as well as those to come.

SECTION 1

LABOUR FORCE STATUS OF PH.D. RESPONDENTS

Have the 1976 Ph.D. graduates of Ontario universities been able to obtain employment? The survey results clearly show that these graduates have been very successful in finding jobs. Table 1.1 presents employment and labour force participation rates for respondents in the Ph.D. survey, as well as the rates for persons aged 25 - 44 in Canada and Ontario¹ as of December, 1978.

More than 95% of all respondents were employed and more than 98% of all respondents belonged to the labour force.

These figures indicate that the unemployment rate of graduates was about 3%.

Graduates now residing outside Canada had slightly higher employment and participation rates than graduates currently living in Canada. The employment rate for graduates residing outside Canada was in excess of 98% and their labour force participation rate was 100%.

Among respondents residing in Canada, 95.6% of the males were employed, while 91.6% of the females held jobs. This employment rate of male Ph.D. graduates was modestly greater than that of males of comparable age in Canada and Ontario.

¹ The age range 25 - 44 was selected as about 95% of the male respondents and 90% of the female respondents fell within this age group. Table A.3 shows the age distribution of respondents.

TABLE 1.1

EMPLOYMENT AND PARTICIPATION RATES BY SEX (December 1978)

LABOUR FORCE EMPLOYMENT PARTICIPATION RATE RATE Per Cent MALES (a) In Ph.D. Survey: 96.2 98.9 Total (N=476) Residing Outside Canada (N=88) 98.9 100.0 Residing In Canada (N=388) 95.6 98.7 (b) Aged 25-44 in Canada³ 90.1 95.2 (c) Aged 25-44 in Ontario 96.8 92.8 FEMALES (a) In Ph.D. Survey: 96.4 Total (N=111) 91.9 Residing Outside Canada (N=16) 100.0 93.8 Residing In Canada (N=95)91.6 95.8 (b) Aged 25-44 in Canada³ 60.1 55.3 (c) Aged 25-44 in Ontario³ 66.0 60.9

NOTES: 1. Employment rate measures the percentage of a given population which is employed.

^{2.} Labour force participation rate measures the percentage of a given population which is in the labour force; to be considered a member of the labour force one must be either employed or unemployed (i.e., actively seeking employment).

^{3.} Source: Statistics Canada, The Labour Force, Cat. 71-001 (monthly)

Female respondents, however, had a substantially higher - about 50% higher - employment rate than did females of comparable age in Canada and Ontario.

Despite the rather weak performances of many national economies in the past few years, we probably should not be too surprised by the high participation and low unemployment rates of these Ph.D. graduates. Ph.D. programs require large investments by students in terms of time, foregone earnings, and out-of-pocket educational expenses. The large investment costs should provide additional incentives to Ph.D. graduates to participate in a labour force.

In assessing the unemployment rate, we should be aware that this unemployment rate refers to a point in time two years after graduation. Although some graduates may have experienced difficulties in finding jobs immediately upon graduation, we should expect that after two years have elapsed, these graduates would have adjusted their employment aspirations in order that they may secure some form of employment. The survey data indicate that, in fact, very few graduates experienced a long job search after graduation. Almost 43% of the graduates had secured a job prior to the year of graduation (i.e., prior to January 1976) and another 50% had found jobs in the year of graduation (i.e., in 1976). These latter figures may be somewhat surprising, as well as encouraging.

Labour Force Status by Discipline

Although the Ph.D. graduates as a group appear to have had little difficulty in obtaining work, experiences have been varied according to the graduates' areas of specialization in their doctoral programs. Table 1.2 shows by broad discipline categories the type of appointment (i.e., full-time or part-time) held by graduates, employment rates, and the extent to which non-employment is involuntary. Table 1.3 gives similar information, but the discipline categories of Table 1.2 have been further disaggregated.

Humanities graduates experienced somewhat lower rates of employment and higher rates of involuntary non-employment than did graduates of other disciplines. Almost 10% of the Humanities graduates were not employed, and 80% of these non-employed graduates were without work involuntarily. In comparison, only 3.5% of graduates in disciplines other than the Humanities were without work, and slightly less than 60% of these non-employed graduates were without work involuntarily. Among the Humanities graduates, History and English majors had the lowest employment rates; only 88% of the graduates in each of these disciplines were employed.

Examination of full- and part-time rates of employment reveal further differences in the labour force status of Human-ities graduates as compared to graduates of other disciplines.

On the one hand, less than 75% of all Humanities graduates

TABLE 1.2

LABOUR FORCE STATUS BY FIELD OF STUDY AND SEX

					PE	PERCENT EMPLOYED	PLOYED							PERCEN	PERCENT NOT EMPLOYED	MPLOYEL		
Field of Study	TOTAL POPULATION M F TOTAL	POPUL	ATION	M	FULL-TIME	E TOTAL	P.A.	PART-TIME	ME TOTAL	EMPL	EMPLOYMENT RATE	RATE	INVC	INVOLUNTARY	RY TOTAL	ION	VOLUNTARY	TOTAL
Education	31	15	46	96.8	80.0	91.3	3.2	20.0	8.7	100.0	100.0 100.0 100.0	100.0	1	1	ı	ı	ı	ı
Fine and Applied Arts	е	1	4	100.0	100.0 100.0 100.0	100.0	1	1	ı	100.0	100.0 100.0 100.0	100.0	ı	1	1	ı	ı	-
Humanities	7.1		35 106	78.9	65.9	73.6	11.3		28.6 17.0	90.2	91.5	90.6	8.5	5.7	7.5	7.4	2.9	1.9
Social Sciences	147	33	180	93.9	81.8	91.7	3.4	15.2	5.6	97.3	97.0	97.3	2.0	ı	1.7	0.7	3.0	1.1
Agruculture and Biological Sciences	36	11	47	97.2	81.8	93.6	ŧ	9.1	2.1	97.2	6.06	95.7	2.8	9.1	4.3	1	ı	ı
Engineering and Applied Sciences	55	5	09	100.0	40.0	95.0	1	1	1	100.0	40.0	95.0	ı	40.0	3.3	ŧ	20.0	1.7
Health	28	М	31	92.9	66.7	90.3	3.6	33.3	6.5	96.5	100.0	8.96	3.6	1	3.2	1	ı	ı
Mathematics and Physical Sciences	105	∞	113	93.3	75.0	92.0	1.9	12.5	2.7	95.2	87.5	94.7	1.9	1	1.8	2.9	12.5	3.5
Total	476	476 111 587	587	92.6	73.0	88.9	3.6	18.9	6.5	96.2	91.9	95.4	2.7	4.5	3,1	1.1	3.6	1.5
																	and the second s	

7.7

1.9

6.3

TOTAL

had full-time jobs, while for all other broad discipline categories the rate of full-time employment of graduates exceeded 90%. On the other hand, 17% of all Humanities graduates had part-time jobs, while the rate of part-time employment for graduates of other disciplines was only 4%.

Possibly one reason for these latter differences is that females constituted 33% of the Humanities graduates, while accounting for only 16% of the graduates of other disciplines. Females traditionally have had much higher rates of part-time employment than males. For example, according to Statistics Canada labour force data for December, 1978, 20% of employed Canadian females aged 25 - 44 worked part-time, while only about 1% of their male counterparts worked part-time. The Statistics Canada data also indicate that almost 80% of these part-time female employees were working part-time by choice or for personal and family reasons; less than 20% held part-time jobs because full-time work was not available.

But differences in male-female composition would not seem to entirely explain the differences among disciplines in full-and part-time rates of employment. Slightly less than 80% of male Humanities graduates had full-time jobs, as compared to 95% of male graduates from other disciplines. Similarly, while 63% of female Humanities graduates had full-time jobs, almost 78% of female graduates in other disciplines held full-time jobs. Hence, it would seem that a significant number of

Humanities graduates of both sexes working part-time are not doing so by choice; full-time employment opportunities for these graduates would appear to be fairly limited. The relatively high rate of involuntary non-employment of Humanities graduates - almost 8% - lends further support to this statement.

Non-Employment: Amount, Type, and Reasons For

Of the 587 respondents, 27 were not employed in December, 1978. Eighteen graduates, or 3% of all graduates, were involuntarily without work. The rate of non-employment of females was more than double that of males. Humanities graduates, representing only 18% of the total number of respondents, account for more than 37% of the non-employed graduates, and for more than 44% of those graduates involuntarily without work.

Table 1.4 shows by discipline the main reason a respondent gave for non-employment. One-third of the non-employed graduates stated that they were unable to find a position related to their doctoral field; six of the ten non-employed Humanities graduates gave this as their reason for non-employment. Almost a further one-third of the non-employed graduates said that they were unable to find any work. Home or household duties accounted for one-third of the non-employment of females.

TABLE 1.4

REASONS FOR NON-EMPLOYMENT By Discipline and Sex

						MAIN	I REA	SON	FOR	NON-	EMP	LOYMEN	T			~					
Discipline	Num Not Emp			To	Hom seh		7	ers tio	sity on in	in Fie Out	Finosi Doc 1d sid		to	abl Fi y W		Il	lne	SS	Ot	her	
	М	F	Т	М	F	Т	М	F	Т	M	F	Т	М	F	Т	M	F	Т	М	F	Т
History	3	0	3				1	0	1				2	0	2						
English	1	3	4	0	1	1	1	1*	2	0	1	1									
Philosophy	1	0	1			,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,							1	0	1						
Other Humanities	2	0	2				1	0	1	1	0	1									
Anthropology	1	0	1				1*	0	1												
Geography	1	0	1																1	0	1
Psychology	1	1	2										1	0	1				0	1	1
Other Social Sciences	1	0	1																1	0	1
Biology	0	1	1										0	1	1						
Zoology	1	0	1																1	0	1
Chemical Engineering	0	2	2										0	2	2						
Other Engineering	0	1	1	0	1	1															
Health	1	0	1							1	0	1									
Chemistry	2	1	3	0	1	1							1	0	1				1	0	1
Geology	1	0	1																1	0	1
Physics	. 2	0	2				1	0	1							1	0	1			
Total	18	9	27	0	3	3	5	1	6	2	1	3	5	3	8	1	0	1	5	1	6

^{*} means that respondent was residing in the United States all other non-employed respondents were residing in Canada

Summary

The labour force status of the respondents may be summarized as follows:

- 1) The labour force participation rate was very high; only about 2% of the respondents did not belong to a labour force.

 Female graduates, when compared to other females of similar age, had an especially high participation rate.
- 2) The unemployment rate was very low; only about 3% of the respondents would be classified as unemployed. Most observers would consider this level of unemployment to represent full-employment, as some people would always be between jobs (i.e., experiencing frictional unemployment).
- 3) Graduates of the Humanities experienced substantially more involuntary non-employment than did graduates of most other disciplines; almost 8% of the Humanities graduates were involuntarily not employed, while the comparable figure for graduates of other disciplines was 2%.
- 4) Graduates of the Humanities tended to have a much higher rate of part-time employment than did other graduates; only 4.2% of graduates in disciplines other than the Humanities held part-time jobs, while 17% of the Humanities graduates were employed part-time.

5) Among the non-employed graduates, Humanities graduates cited not being able to find a position related to their doctoral field as the reason for their non-employment much more often than did other graduates; six of the ten non-employed Humanities graduates, but only three of the other seventeen non-employed graduates gave this as their reason.

SECTION 2

EMPLOYMENT OF PH.D. RESPONDENTS BY NON-UNIVERSITY EMPLOYERS

Traditionally, Ph.D. graduates have been employed mainly at universities. However, the declining rates of growth of enrolment and funding at universities and the relatively young work force already in place at universities may severely affect the ability of the university sector to absorb Ph.D. graduates. In such a situation, the employment opportunities outside the university sector take on increased importance for Ph.D. graduates. This section examines what types of jobs the 1976 Ph.D. respondents living in Canada currently hold outside the university sector, and what types of graduates obtained these jobs. In the next section, the academic labour market is examined.

The non-university sector currently employs 46.2 per cent of the respondents. This figure seems quite low. The popular impression has been that university positions were extremely difficult to find in the last few years. However, with more than 50 per cent of the graduates holding jobs at universities, it would seem that university hiring has been less restricted than popularly thought.

Table 2.1 shows the distribution by employment sector and sex of respondents not working at universities. Governments represented the largest employers of Ph.D. graduates outside the university sector, employing 16.8 per cent of all respondents, and 36.5 per cent of the respondents working outside the

TABLE 2.1

DISTRITUBION OF PH.D. RESPONDENTS IN NON-UNIVERSITY EMPLOYMENT SECTORS

(Residing in Canada)

	Numbe	r of Res	pondents	Per Cen	t of Resp	ondents
Employment Sector	М	F	T .	М	F	T
Other Educational Level	16	8	24	4.3	9.2	5.3
Health Care	27	12	39	7.3	13.8	8.5
Government	71	6	77	19.2	6.9	16.8
Industry	47	2	49	12.7	2.3	10.7
Self-Employed	5	3	8	1.4	3.4	1.8
Other	11	3	14	3.0	3.4	3.1
Total Non-University	177	. 34	211	47.8	39.1	46.2

university sector. These figures should cause some concern to potential Ph.D. recipients in that current and expected government restraints on hiring may limit the employment opportunities of future Ph.D. graduates in this sector. If both universities and governments simultaneously restrict their hirings of Ph.D.'s, then the remaining sectors of the economy may be hard pressed to provide suitable employment for these future graduates.

Slightly less than 30 per cent of the 1976 Ph.D. graduates hold jobs outside the government and university sectors. Industry employed 10.7 per cent of the respondents, the health care sector 8.5 per cent, and the non-university educational sector 5.3 per cent.

The distribution of graduates among employment sectors varied somewhat according to sex. Male graduates did not find employment as frequently as the female graduates in either the non-university educational sector or health care. Their rates of employment in these two sectors were roughly one-half those of the female graduates. In contrast, the employment of male graduates in government and industry was significantly greater than that of the female graduates. The male graduates were almost three times as likely to work in government, and more than five times as likely to work in industry as their female counterparts.

Some of these differences between sexes may be explained by the different discipline patterns of the male and female graduates. For example, slightly less than 20 per cent of the employed females graduated from health, life, physical or applied science programs, while slightly more than 40 per cent of the males graduated from these programs. Or alternatively, more than 80 per cent of the employed females graduated from education, humanities or social science programs, while less than 60 per cent of the males specialized in these areas. Given these discipline patterns, one would expect male graduates to be employed relatively more in industry and relatively less in the non-university educational sector than the female graduates. Societal attitudes about the "proper" occupations for males and females may be one factor affecting the selection of fields of study.

The Non-University Educational Sector

The non-university educational sector employed relatively few Ph.D. graduates. Only 24, or 5.3 per cent of the employed graduates worked in this sector. Slightly more than one-half of the jobs involved primarily teaching; graduates from ten disciplines held the thirteen teaching jobs. One-sixth of the jobs involved consulting activities primarily; the four consulting jobs were filled by psychology graduates. Administrative positions accounted for one-eighth of the jobs; two of the three administrative positions were held by educational administration graduates. One-third of all jobs were held by psychology or

educational psychology graduates. However, in relative terms, educational administration graduates were in greatest demand;

37.5 per cent of the respondents graduating in educational administration worked in this sector.

Table 2.2 gives the distribution of graduates in the nonuniversity educational sector by discipline and primary work activity.

The Health Care Sector

The health care sector, in which 8.5 per cent of the employed respondents worked, hired mainly psychology and educational psychology graduates. Almost 75 per cent of the jobs in this sector were filled by graduates from these two disciplines; nearly 40 per cent of employed psychology and 20 per cent of employed educational psychology graduates worked in the health care sector. Of the identifiable work activities, consulting was the primary activity in 23.1 per cent of the jobs, research in 20.5 per cent, and administration in 15.4 per cent. Psychologists occupied 88.9 per cent of the consulting and two-thirds of the administrative positions, while science graduates (e.g. life, applied, health, and physical) filled 50 per cent of the research positions.

Table 2.3 gives the distribution of graduates in the health care sector by discipline and primary work activity.

DISTRIBUTION OF GRADUATES IN NON-UNIVERSITY EDUCATIONAL SECTOR BY DISCIPLINE AND PRIMARY WORK ACTIVITY

(Residing in Canada)

				더	IMARY W	PRIMARY WORK ACTIVITY	TI.			1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	PER CENT OF DISCIPLINE EMPLOYED IN
DISCIPLINE	Tea No.	Teaching Col. %	Admini No.	stration Col. %	Cons.	Consulting O. Col. %	No.	Other Col. %	NO.	Total Col. %	NON-UNIVERSITY EDUCATIONAL SECTOR
Educational Psychology	r-1	7.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	П	25.0	2	8	13.3
Educational Administration	H	7.7	7	66.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	М	12.5	37.4
English	7	15.4	\vdash	33.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	3	12.5	13.0
Philosophy	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	7	25.0	-	4.2	11.1
Other Humanities	7	15.4	0	0.0	0	0.0	Н	25.0	m	12.5	12.5
Political Science	Н	7.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	Н	4.2	5.6
Psychology	러	7.7	0	0.0	4	100.0	rl	25.0	9	25.0	0.0
Biology	H	7.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	Н	4.2	12.5
Chemical Engineering	r1	7.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0		4.2	1.00
Mathematics	ਜ	7.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	П	4.2	20.0
Chemistry	2	15.4	0	0	. 0	0.0	0	0	7	m 	0.0
TOTAL	13	100.0	m 	100.0	4	100.0	₹*	100.0	24	100.0	
BOW %	The state of the s	54.2		12.5		16.7		16.7		100.0	Application of the second seco

DISTRIBUTION OF GRADUATES IN HEALTH CARE SECTOR BY DISCIPLINE AND PRIMARY WORK ACTIVITY

(Residing in Canada)

						PRIM	ARY WC	PRIMARY WORK ACTIVITY	VITY						PER CENT OF
DISCIPLINE	Teaching No. Col.	dP	Research No. Col	arch Col. %	Administration No. Co.	Adminis- tration o. Col. %	Consu	Consulting No. Col. %	Computer Applications No. Col. %	ations Col. %	Other No. C	er Col. %	Total	al Col. %	DISCIPLINE EMPLOYED IN HEALTH CARE
Ed. Psy.	0	0.0	-	12.5	-	16.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	7	7.1	m	7.7	20.0
Other Ed.	0	0.0	0	0.0	-	16.7	-	11.1	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	5.1	9.5
Psychology	1 10	100.0	2	25.0	4	66.7	α	88.9	0	0.0	11	78.6	26	66.7	38.8
Sociology	0	0.0	-	12.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	2.6	5.6
Biochemistry	0	0.0	-	12.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0		2.6	50.0
Electrical Eng.	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	П	100.0	0	0.0	н	2.6	10.0
Other Eng.	0	0.0	1	12.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	2.6	6.3
Health Sciences	0	0.0	-	12.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	7	14.3	m	7.7	15.0
Chemistry	0	0.0	1	12.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	H	2.6	2.9
Total	1 10	100.0	Φ	100.0	9	100.0	o	100.0	-	100.0	14	100.0	39	100.0	8.5
Row %		2.6		20.5		15.4		23.1		2.6		35.9		100.0	

The Government Sector

Government, the largest employer of Ph.D. graduates outside the university sector, offered the graduates work mainly in research and development. Research and development positions accounted for 51.9 per cent of the jobs in the government sector. Another 15.6 per cent of the jobs were administrative in nature, and 13 per cent primarily involved consulting.

Graduates in the social and physical sciences each held 28.6 per cent of the government positions. Economics, psychology, chemistry, geology, and physics graduates were most frequently hired from the social and physical science fields. Graduates in the life sciences filled 14.3 per cent of the government jobs; biologists were most frequently ired from this field. Engineers held 10.4 per cent of the government jobs and graduates in education and humanities each occupied less than 10 per cent of the jobs.

Ninety per cent of the research and development positions were held by science graduates (e.g. life, applied, health and physical). Two-thirds of the administrative positions and one-half of the consulting jobs were filled by social science graduates.

In relative terms, life science graduates were in greatest demand. Almost 50 per cent of the employed respondents graduating from a life science program worked for government.

Although only 13.5 per cent of the employed social science graduates worked in government, almost 44 per cent of the economics graduates were employed in this sector.

Table 2.4 gives the distribution of graduates in the government sector by discipline and primary work activity.

Industry

The industrial sector, employing 10.7 per cent of the respondents, hired mainly engineers and graduates of physical science programs. Three-quarters of the graduates employed in this sector had either a doctorate in engineering or the physical sciences. Nearly two-thirds of the employed chemical engineering graduates and one-third of the employed chemistry graduates worked in industry. And for engineering as a whole, 45 per cent of the employed graduates held jobs in industry. Almost 50 per cent of the jobs involved primarily either research or development; administration was the primary work activity in 18 per cent of the jobs, and consulting was the main activity in another 12 per cent of the jobs.

Table 2.5 gives the distribution of graduates in industry by discipline and primary work activity.

DISTRIBUTION OF GRADUATES IN GOVERNMENT SECTOR BY DISCIPLINE AND PRIMARY WORK ACTIVITY

							PRIMARY	PRIMARY WORK ACTIVITY	><						PER CENT OF
DISCIPLINE	aching	Res		[e]	-	minis- ation	chnical	Stati	-	0	puter	Other		Total	DISCIPLINE EMPLOYED IN
	No. COI. %	. ON	COI. *	No. Col.	NO.	. Col. %	No. Col. \$	No. Col.	% No.	Col. %	No. Col. &	No. Col.	% No.	Col. %	COVERNICINI
Ed. Psy						1 8.3								8.3	6.7
Other Ed.									-	10.0		2 28.6	m	3.9	14.3
History						1 8.3			1	10.0		1 14.3	3	3.9	15.8
Philosophy						1 8.3						1 14.3	3 2	2.6	22.2
Other Hum.												1 14.3	1	1.3	4.2
Economics		2	5.6			2 16.7		2 100.0	0 1	10.0			7	9.1	43.8
Geography						1 8.3							7	1.3	9.1
Political Science		1	2.8										Н	1.3	5.6
Sociology						2 16.7							7	2.6	11.1
Psychology	1 50.0								m	30.0		2 28.6	9	7.8	9.0
Other Social Sci.		1	2.8			3 25.0			П	10.0			5	6.5	26.3
Agriculture	1 50.0	1	2.8										2	2.6	66.7
Biochemistry		1	2.8										-	1.3	50.0
Biology		2	13.9										2	6.5	62.5
Botany		2	5.6										2	2.6	40.0
Zoology		1	2.8											1.3	25.0
Chemical Engineering				1 25.0	0								7	1.3	9.1
Electrical Engineering		1	2.8	1 25.0	0		1 50.0						m	3.9	30.0
Mechanical Engineering		2	8.3										9	3.9	0.09
Other Eng. and App. Sci.							1 50.0						-	1,3	6.3
Health Sciences		4	11.11										4	5.2	20.0
Chemistry		4	11.1	2 50.0	0								9	7.8	17.6
Geology		9	16.7						Н	10.0			7	9.1	53.8
Physics		3	8.3			1 8,3					2 100.0		9	7.8	26.1
Other Math & Phy. Sci.		П	2.8						1 2	20.0			m	3.9	42.9
TOTAL ROW %	2 100.0	36	100.0	4 100.0	0 12	2 100.0	2 100.0	2 10	0 10	100.0	2 100.0	7 100.0	77	100.0	16.8
						e 	7.4.7			13.0	2.6	£. £.		0,00	

DISTRIBUTION OF GRADUATES IN INDUSTRY BY DISCIPLINE AND PRIMARY WORK ACTIVITY

			PRIMARY WORK ACTIVITY	K ACTIVITY	Computer			PER CENT OF DISCIPLINE
Teaching .	Research No. Col. %	Development	tration No. Col. %	Consulting No. Col. %	Applications No. Col. %	Other No. Col. %	Total No. Col. %	EMPLOXED IN INDUSTRY
		1 6.3					1 2.0	4.3
			1 11.1				1 2.0	5,3
						2 28.6	2 4.1	8.3
						1 14.3	1 2.0	12.5
100.0				3 50.0		1 14.3	5 10.2	7.5
			1 11.1				1 2.0	33.3
		3 18.8	2 22.2		1 33.3	1 14.3	7 14.3	63.6
	1 14.3	1 6.3	1 11.1		33,3	-	4 8.2	40.0
	2 28.6	4 25.0		2 33.3			8 16.3	50.0
			1 11.1				1 2.0	5.0
	3 42.9	5 31.3	1 11.1		1 33.3	1 14.3	11 22.4	32.4
	1 14.3	1 6.3	1 11.1	1 16.7			4 8.2	17.4
			1 11.1			1 14.3	2 4.1	15.4
		1 6.3					1 2.0	14.3
1 100.0	7 100.0	16 100.0	9 100.0	6 100.0	3 100.0	7 100.0	49 100.0	10.7
2.0	14.3	32.7	18.4	12.2	6.1	14.3	100.0	

Self-Employed and Other

Self-employed graduates constituted 1.8 per cent of the employed respondents; graduates employed in the "other" sector represented another 3 per cent of the employed respondents. The self-employed graduates worked as writers (1), musicians (1), consultants (2), lawyers (1), and presidents of engineering firms (2). Eleven of the fourteen graduates working in the "other" sector were employed at universities. These graduates worked as university librarians (2), university administrators (5), editors for scholarly publications (1), computer programmers (1) and counsellors (2). The remaining three graduates in the "other" sector were ministers.

SUMMARY

The main findings regarding employment of the Ph.D. respondents in the non-university sectors are:

- 1) Slightly less than 50 per cent of the employed respondents worked in the non-university employment sectors. Governments and industry were the two largest employers, with governments employing more than one-third and industry almost one-quarter of the respondents working outside the university sector.

 (See Tables 2.6 and 2.7 which follow.)
- 2) Research was the primary work activity most often cited by graduates working in the non-university sectors.

 Research was the primary activity in almost one-quarter of the non-university jobs. And, if one treats development activities as applied research, then more than one-third of the respondents in the non-university sectors were working in research positions. (See Table 2.7.)
- 3) The two most common types of jobs held by graduates not working in universities were research jobs with governments and development positions in industry. Seventeen per cent of the non-university jobs were research positions in government, and 7.6 per cent were development positions in industry. (See Table 2.7.)

TABLE 2.6

PER CENT OF FIELD OF STUDY EMPLOYED OUTSIDE UNIVERSITY SECTOR

FIELD OF STUDY	PER CENT OF EMPLOYED GRADUATES
Education	45.5
Fine and Applied Arts	0.0
Humanities	34.7
Social Sciences	39.9
Agriculture and Biological Sciences	60.9
Engineering	73.8
Health Sciences	40.0
Mathematics and Physical Sciences	54.0
TOTAL .	46.2

DISTRIBUTION OF JOBS HELD BY RESPONDENTS IN NON-UNIVERSITY SECTORS BY PRIMARY ACTIVITY

				闰	MPLOYME	EMPLOYMENT SECTOR	8					
DRIMARY ACTIVITY	Other Educational Level	cional	Health	th Care	GOVE	Government	Industry	stry	Self-i	Self-Employed And Other		Total
4	No.	0/0	No.	0/0	No.	010	No.	0//0	No.	0/0	No.	0/0
Teaching	13	6.2	H	0.5	2	0.9	П	0.5	2	6.0	19	0.6
Research	0	0.0	σ	3.8	36	17.1	7	3.3		0.5	52	24.6
Development	0	0.0	0	0.0	4	1.9	16	7.6	Н	0.5	21	10.0
Administration	m	1.4	9	2.8	12	5.7	0	4.3	00	8	38	18.0
Report and Technical Writing	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	0.9	0	0.0		0.5	м	1.4
Statistical Work	0	0.0	0	0.0	~	6.0	0	0.0	H	0.5	М	1.4
Consulting	4	1.9	0	4.3	10	4.7	9	2.8		0.5	30	14.2
Computer Applications	0	0.0	Н	0.5	2	0.9	ς,	1.4	7	6.0	00	3.8
Other	4	6.1	14	9.9	7	3.3	7	3,3	N	2.4	37	17.5
TOTAL	24	11.4	39	18.5	77	36.5	49 2	23.2	22	10.4	211	100.0
								-				

- 4) There was considerable variance among fields of study in the proportion of graduates working outside the university sector. For example, almost 75 per cent of the graduates in engineering held jobs outside the university sector, while less than 40 per cent of the graduates in humanities and social sciences were employed in the non-university sectors (See Table 2.6).
- 5) Field of study affected not only the likelihood of working outside the university sector, but also the likelihood of working within a particular non-university employment sector. More than 75 per cent of the life sciences graduates working outside the university sector were employed by governments; in contrast, only 20 per cent of the education graduates not working at universities were employed by governments. And, while more than 60 per cent of the engineering graduates working outside the university sector held jobs in industry, the comparable figure for graduates in education, the social sciences, and the life sciences was less than 10 per cent. Social science graduates had a relatively higher employment rate in the health care sector than did graduates from other fields of study; however, although slightly more than 40 per cent of the social science graduates employed outside the university sector were working in the health care sector, this relatively large percentage is mainly the

result of the large number of psychology graduates employed in the health care sector. Graduates of other disciplines within the social science field tended to be employed mainly by governments. (See Table 2.8 which follows.)

The primary work activity of respondents employed in non-university sectors was affected also by field of study.

Only 9 per cent of education, humanities, and social science graduates were involved primarily in research or development activities, while 63 per cent of the life, applied, health, and physical science graduates held jobs which mainly involved these activities. The arts graduates were more likely to hold administrative or consulting positions; one in four held administrative positions and about one in five worked as consultants. (See Table 2.9 which follows.)

TABLE 2.8

DISTRIBUTION OF RESPONDENTS IN NON-UNIVERSITY SECTORS BY FIELD OF STUDY

					EMPLOYM	EMPLOYMENT SECTOR	- W					
VATITIES GO A FITTE	Other Educa Level	Other Educational Level	Heal	Health Care	Gove	Government	Indu	Industry	Self-Empl	Self-Employed And Other	Ţ	Total
FIELD OF STODI	No.	Row %	No.	Row %	No.	Row %	No.	Row %	No.	ROW %	No.	Pow %
Education	r.	25.0	10	25.0	4	20.0	0	0.0	9	30.0	20	9.5
Fine and Applied Arts	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Humanities	7	26.9	0	0.0	9	23.1	7	15.4	0	34.6	26	12.3
Social Sciences	7	10.8	1 . 27	41.5	22	33.8	9	9.5	m	4.6	65	30.8
Agriculture and Biological Sci.	П	7.1		7.1		78.6	H	7.1	0	0.0	14	9.9
Engineering	H	3.2	2	6.5	00	25.8	19	.61.3	-	3.2	31	14.7
Health Sciences	0	0.0	6	37.5	4	50.0	г	12.5	0	0.0	∞	3.8
Mathematics and Physical Sciences	т	6.4	F-1	2.1	22	46.8	18	. 38.3	m	6.4	47	22.3
TOTAL	24	11.4	39	18.5	77	36.5	49	23.2	22	10.4	211	100.0

DISTRIBUTION OF RESPONDENTS EMPLOYED OUTSIDE UNIVERSITY SECTOR BY FIELD OF STUDY AND PRIMARY WORK ACTIVITY

(Residing in Canada)

	Total	Col. %	0.6	24.6	10.0	18.0	1.4	1.4	14.2	3.8	17.5	100.0
,	H	No.	19	52	21	38	m	m	30	8	37	211
	Mathematics and Physical Sciences	Col. %	8.5	40.4	21.3	8.5	0.0	0.0	8.5	8.5	4.3	100.0
	Mathemati and Physi Sciences	No.	4	19	10	4	0	0	4	4	2	47
	Health Sciences	Col. %	0.0	62.5	0.0	12.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	100.0
	Hea	No.	0	S	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	ω
	Engineering	Col. %	3.2	25.8	32.3	12.9	6.5	0.0	6.5	9.7	3.2	100.0
	Engi	No.	-	8	10	4	2	0	2	m	н	31
STUDY	Agriculture & Biological Sciences	Col. %	14.3	78.6	0.0	7.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0
FIELD OF STUDY	Agricultu Biologica Sciences	No.	2	11	0	H	0	0	0	0	0	14
124	Social Sciences	Col. %	7.7	12.3	0.0	18.5	0.0	4.6	32.3	0.0	24.6	100.0
	Soci	No.	5	8	0	12	0	е	21	0	16	65
	Humanities	Col. %	15.4	0.0	3.8	30.8	3.8	0.0	3.8	0.0	42.3	100.0
	Huma	No.	4	0	1	ω	1	0	7	0	11	26
	Education	Col. %	15.0	5.0	0.0	40.0	0.0	0.0	10.0	5.0	25.0	100.0
	Edu	No.	m	П	0	00	0	0	2	1	5	20
	PRIMARY ACTIVITY		Teaching	Research	Development	Administration	Report and Technical Writing	Statistical Work	Consulting	Computer Applications	Other	TOTAL

TABLE 2.9

SECTION 3

EMPLOYMENT AT UNIVERSITIES

Forty-five per cent of the employed respondents hold teaching positions at universities and another 8.5 per cent hold research positions at universities. In view of these figures and the employment aspirations of the respondents, one can not underestimate the importance of employment opportunities in the university sector, to Ph.D. graduates. Table 3.1 indicates by discipline the percentage of graduates employed by universities; Table 3.2 shows the employment aspirations of the respondents upon entry into doctoral programmes. Although employment in the university sector appears to be relatively high, it must be observed that two-thirds of all respondents aspired to a university appointment, when they began their doctoral studies. Thus, despite the high rate of employment in universities, there remains a surplus.

Table 3.3 attempts to quantify the surplus by field of study. The questionnaire asks respondents if they aspire or aspired to a university appointment. No distinction is made by the questionnaire between a teaching and a research appointment. In computing the surpluses shown in Table 3.3 it is assumed that those respondents aspiring to a university appointment were, in fact, interested in a teaching position. This assumption seems reasonable in view of the fact that persons employed by the research sector hold temporary and

TABLE 3.1

EMPLOYMENT OF PH.D. RESPONDENTS AT UNIVERSITIES BY DISCIPLINE

a)	
Canada	
Ξ	
Residing	

Number N	CIPL VERS	INES FOR WHI ITY SECTOR I Than 33.3 Pe	DISCIPLINES FOR WHICH EMPLOYMENT IN UNIVERSITY SECTOR IS RELATIVELY LOW (i.e. Less Than 33.3 Per Cent of Graduates)	T IN LOW aduates)	DISCIPLII IN UNIVEI (i.e., 33.3 to	ERSITY SECTOR IS to 66.7 Per Cent	DISCIPLINES FOR WHICH EMPLOYMENT IN UNIVERSITY SECTOR IS MODERATE , 33.3 to 66.7 Per Cent of Graduates)	(tes)	DISCIPLINES FOR WHICH UNIVERSITY SECTOR IS (i.e., More than 66.7 Per	DISCIPLINES FOR WHICH UNIVERSITY SECTOR IS , More than 66.7 Per	DISCIPLINES FOR WHICH EMPLOYMENT IN UNIVERSITY SECTOR IS RELATIVELY HIGH , More than 66.7 Per Cent of Graduates)	N GH ates)
3 0 0.0 Psychology 67 24 35.8 History 19 14 2 0 0.0 Ochber Eng. & App. Sci. 16 6 37.5 Zoology 4 3 11 2 18.2 Chemistry 34 14 41.2 English 23 18 10 2 Chemistry 34 14 41.2 Mathematics 5 4 10 2 20.0 Ed. Psy. 15 7 46.7 Political Sci. 18 15 10 2 25.0 Educ. Admin. 8 7 46.7 Sociology 18 15 13 4 30.8 4 50.0 Geography 11 10 13 4 30.8 16 9 56.3 Arts Arts 11 10 14 4 13 60.0 Arts Arts 11 11 11 11 11 <th></th> <th>Number in Discipline Who Are Employed</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>Discipline</th> <th>Number in Discipline Who Are Employed</th> <th>Number Employed Universit</th> <th>Per Cent of Employed Graduates</th> <th>Discipline</th> <th>Number in Discipline Who Are Employed</th> <th>Number Employed At University</th> <th>Per Cent of Employed Graduates</th>		Number in Discipline Who Are Employed			Discipline	Number in Discipline Who Are Employed	Number Employed Universit	Per Cent of Employed Graduates	Discipline	Number in Discipline Who Are Employed	Number Employed At University	Per Cent of Employed Graduates
2 0 0.00 Other Eng. & App. Sci. 16 6 37.5 Zoology 4 3 11 2 18.2 Chemistry 34 14 41.2 Rathematics 5 4 5 1 20.0 Philosophy 9 4 44.4 Political Sci. 18 15 10 2 20.0 Ed. Fsy. 15 7 46.7 Sociology 18 15 7 2 25.0 Educ. Admin. 8 4 50.0 Geography 11 10 13 4 30.8 Other Humanities 24 13 54.2 Fine & Applied 3 1 13 4 30.8 Other Humanities 24 13 56.3 Arts Arts 6 6 1 13 4 30.8 Other Humanities 2 5 5 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 6		n	0	0.0	Psychology	67	24	35.8	History	19	14	73.7
11 2 18.2 Chemistry 34 14 41.2 Mathematics 5 4 4 1.2 Mathematics 5 5 5 1.2 Mathematics 6 6 6 6 7 1.2 Mathematics 6 6 6 7 1.2 Mathematics 6 6 7 1.2 Mathematics 6 6 7 1.2 Mathematics 6 7 1.2	>	2	0	0.0		٦٢	Ų	0	Zoology	4	٣	75.0
5 1 20.0 Philosophy 9 4 44.4 Political Sci. 18 15 10 2 20.0 Philosophy 9 4 44.4 Political Sci. 18 15 8 2 25.0 Physics 23 11 47.8 Bus. Admin. 8 7 7 2 28.6 Educ. Admin. 8 4 50.0 Geography 11 10 13 4 30.8 Other Humanities 24 13 54.2 Fine & Applied Botany 5 3 3 60.0 Other Agric. 20 Other Educ. 21 13 61.9 Computer Sci. 5 5 1		Lost Lost	2	18.2		2	0	0.70	English	23	18	78.3
10 2 20.0 Ed. Psy. 15 7 46.7 Sociology 18 15 8 2 25.0 Physics 23 11 47.8 Bus. Admin. 8 4 50.0 Geography 11 10 13 4 30.8 Other Humanities 24 13 54.2 Fine & Applied Botany 5 3 60.0 Other Agric. 21 13 61.9 Computer Sci. 5 5 1		ហ	Т	20.0	Chemistry	34	14	41.2	Mathematics	Ŋ	4	80.0
8 2 25.0 Ed. Psy. 15 7 46.7 Sociology 18 15 7 2 28.6 Educ. Admin. 8 4 50.0 Bus. Admin. 8 7 13 4 30.8 Other Humanities 24 13 54.2 Fine & Applied 3 7 Economics 16 9 56.3 Arts 3 3 3 1 Health Sci. 20 12 60.0 Other Agric. 6 6 1 Other Educ. 21 13 61.9 Computer Sci. 5 5 1 Other Soc. Sci. 19 12 60.0 Computer Sci. 5 5 1		10	2	20.0	Philosophy	6	4	44.4		18	13	83.3
Physics 23 11 47.8 Bus. Admin. 8 7 2 28.6 Educ. Admin. 8 4 50.0 Geography 11 10 13 4 30.8 Other Humanities 24 13 54.2 Fine & Applied 3 3 1 Economics 16 9 56.3 Arts Botany 5 3 60.0 Anthropology 6 6 6 1 Health Sci. 20 12 60.0 Other Agric. 1 1 1 Other Educ. 21 13 61.9 Computer Sci. 5 5 1		Ø	2	25.0	Ed. Psy.	15	7	46.7	Sociology	18	15	0.00
7 2 28.6 Educ. Admin. 8 4 50.0 Bus. Admin. 8 7 13 4 30.8 Other Humanities 24 13 54.2 Fine & Applied Botany Economics 16 9 56.3 Arts Botany 5 3 60.0 Anthropology 6 6 1 Health Sci. 20 12 60.0 Other Agric. Other Educ. 21 13 61.9 Computer Sci. 5 5 1 Other Soc. Sci. 19 12 63.2					Physics	23	11	47.8	*)	
4 30.8 Other Humanities 24 13 54.2 Fine & Applied Becomics 16 9 56.3 Arts Applied 3 3 3 1 2 80.0 Anthropology 6 6 6 1 1 8 13 60.0 Computer Sci. 21 13 61.9 Computer Sci. 5 5 1	4	7	2	28.6	Educ. Admin.	Φ	4	50.0	Bus. Admin.	∞	7	87.5
16 9 56.3 Arts Applied 3 3 3 5 3 60.0 Anthropology 6 6 . 20 12 60.0 Other Agric. 21 13 61.9 Computer Sci. 5 5 Sci. 19 12 63.2		13	7	30.8	Other Humanities	24	13	54.2	Geography	11	10	6.06
5 3 60.0 Anthropology 6 6 6 20 12 60.0 Other Agric. 21 13 61.9 Computer Sci. 5 5 Sci. 19 12 63.2					Economics	16	6	56.3	Fine & Applied Arts		m	100.0
20 12 60.0 Other Agric. 21 13 61.9 Computer Sci. 5 5					Botany	Ŋ	m	0.09	Anthropology	9	9	100.0
Sci. 19 12 63.2 Computer Sci. 5 5					Health Sci.	20	12	0.09	Other Agric.	,		
Sci. 19 12 63.2 Computer Sci. 5 5					Other Educ.	21	13	61.9	& Blo. Scl.	~1	٦	100.0
					Other Soc. Sci.	19	12	63.2	Computer Sci.	Ŋ	ហ	100.0

EMPLOYMENT ASPIRATIONS OF RESPONDENTS* ON ENTRY TO DOCTORAL PROGRAM BY FIELD OF STUDY

							.0370			
				EMPLC	YMENT	ASPIRATI	ONS		1	
FIELD OF STUDY		RSITY NTMENT	OUTSI	NTMENT	OTHER APPOI OUTSI UNIVE	NTMENT DE		ECIFIC ATIONS	NO RES	SPONSE
	#	- %	_#_	90	_#_	%	_#	%	_#	%
Education	28	63.6	1	2.3	7	15.9	7	15.9	1	2.3
Fine and Applied Arts	3	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Humanities	77	91.7	0	0.0	1	1.2	6	7.1	0	0.0
Social Sciences	119	70.8	5	3.0	28	16.7	15	8.9	1	0.6
Life Sciences	13	52.0	10	40.0	0	0.0	2	8.0	0	0.0
Engineering	13	30.2	17	39.5	7	16.3	6	13.9	0	0.0
Health Sciences	12	57.1	5	23.8	2	9.5	2	9.5	0	0.0
Physical Sciences	54	58.1	24	25.8	4	4.3	11	11.8	1 0	0.0
TOTAL	319	66.3	62	12.9	49	10.2	49	10.2	2	0.4

^{*}Includes respondents residing in Canada who are not currently employed

SURPLUS OF UNIVERSITY TEACHERS
BY FIELD OF STUDY

_
ork
10
Ida
end.
10
~
7
Cana
F 3
0
in
. —
-17
9
<u></u>
7-1
din
, ()
S
41
0
Re
-

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(2)	(9)
FIELD OF STUDY	ORIGINAL AND CURRENT EMPLOYMENT ASPIRATION EQUAL UNIVERSITY APPOINTMENT	ORIGINAL EMPLOYMENT ASPIRATION WAS UNI- VERSITY APPOINTMENT BUT CURRENT IS NOT OWING TO SCARCITY OF JOBS	ORIGINAL EMPLOYMENT ASPIRATION WAS NOT UNIVERSITY APPOINTMENT BUT CURRENT IS UNIVERSITY APPOINTMENT	SURPLUS (2 + 3 + 4)	SURPLUS AS PER CENT OF FIELD RESIDING IN CANADA
Education		(Number of Respondents)	dents)	1 1 2 1	27.3 %
Humanities	12	. 16	:0	2.8	32.00.00.00
Social Sciences	ii	14	~7	5.0	17.2 %
Life Sciences	Φ	m	N	11	44.0 %
Engineering	ιn	П	Н	7	16.3
Health Sciences	4	0	0	4	50.0
Physical Sciences	15	15	Ν	32	34.4 %
TOTAL	09	50	13	123	25.5%

relatively low-paying positions. Given this assumption, we find that overall one-quarter of the respondents would be considered to represent an excess supply of university faculty. In absolute terms, graduates in the physical and social sciences, as well as the humanities, represent the greatest surplus.

If employment opportunities in universities decline in the future, the question remains, will future doctoral candidates add significantly to the surplus. It is possible that enrolment in Ph.D. programmes will decrease substantially, and thus retard the growth of the surplus. Table 3.4 indicates that more than 75 per cent of those respondents aspiring to a university appointment considered their employment aspirations as having "very" or "considerable" influence on their decision to enter a doctoral programme. This being the case, many potential entrants to Ph.D. programmes may decide against enrolling. In addition, the ability of a doctoral candidate to finance his studies may be severely affected by reduced employment opportunities at universities. As Table 3.5 indicates, almost 45 per cent of those currently employed in university teaching positions held full-time teaching positions at least two years prior to graduation.

It is possible, however, that potential entrants will aspire, to a lesser extent, to university appointments. If

TABLE 3.4

EXTENT TO WHICH EMPLOYMENT ASPIRATIONS INFLUENCED DECISION TO PURSUE A DOCTORAL DEGREE

	NO RESPONSE	0	O rd	4.1	0°0	4.8
	NO R	19	\leftarrow	7	Н	23
	AT ALL	7.	11.3	16.3	38.8	10.2
H	NOT &	15		ω	10	49
EXTENT OF INFLUENCE	SOME	12.2	22.6	14.3	26.5	15.2
TENT 0	SOME	39	14	7	13	73
EX	CONSIDERABLE	25.7	22.6	22.4	12.2	23.6
	CONSIDERA	88	14	H	O	113
	VERY INFLUENTIAL #	51.4	41.9	42.9	20°4	46.1
	VERY INFL	164	56	21	10	221
	EMPLOYMENT ASPIKATION ON ENTRY TO DOCTORAL PROGRAM	University Appointment	Research Appointment Outside University	Other Appointment Outside University	No Specific Aspirations	TOTAL

TEACHING EXPERIENCE OF CURRENT UNIVERSITY FACULTY PRIOR TO OBTAINING DOCTORAL DEGREE

			STAF POSI PRIC	START DATE POSITION IN PRIOR TO OB	E OF FIRST IN UNIVERS OBTAINING	E OF FIRST FULL-TIME IN UNIVERSITY TEACHING OBTAINING DOCTORAL DEG	FULL-TIME SITY TEACHING DOCTORAL DEGREE	GREE			NO FULL-T	NO FULL-TIME TEACHING EXPERIENCE PRIOR TO
FIELD OF STUDY	Pre-1965	1965	1965	965-1969	1970	1970-1974	#	1975	#	1976	OBTAINING #	OBTAINING DOCTORATE # %
Education	0	0	7	10.5	4	21.1	7	10.5	0	0	11	57.9
Fine Arts	0	0.0	Н	33.3	H	33.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	Н	. e e e
Humanities	7	4.3	9	12.8	16	34.0	7	4.3	0	0.0	21	48.8
Social Sciences	7	2.2	10	10.9	33	35.0	H	12.0	4	4.3	32	34.8
Life Sciences	0	.0	0	0.0	0	0	2	50.0	0	0.0	7	50.0
Engineering	0	0	П	12.5	m	37.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	4	50.0
Health Sciences	0	0.0	m	33.3	0	0 0	0	0.0	0	0.0	9	67.3
Physical Sciences	Н	0.4	. 7	0.8	9	24.0	2	0.8	2	0.8	12	48.0
	<u>ب</u>	2.4	25	12.1	63	30.4	19	9.5	9	2.9	68	43.0

this is the case, although enrolments may not decline significantly, and the faculty surplus may not increase substantially, other employment sectors will be required to absorb a greater proportion of the Ph.D. graduates, and, as the following section points out, many graduates employed outside the university sector experience less job satisfaction.

Either scenario bodes poorly for the disciplines in the humanities. Declining enrolments in Ph.D. programmes, or adjustments in employment aspirations of Ph.D. graduates will no doubt affect humanities programmes more adversely than other programmes. To maintain a reasonable balance among the disciplines, it may be necessary to consider special subsidies to the humanities.

SECTION 4

JOB SATISFACTION

Three of every four respondents were either satisfied or very satisfied with the overall conditions of their employment. Considerable variability in levels of satisfaction did exist, however, among employment sectors, work activities, and fields of study.

In four employment sectors, namely, university teaching, other educational levels, government, and self-employed or "other", 80 per cent or more of the respondents employed in each of these sectors expressed satisfaction with their jobs. In industry, however, the comparable figure was only slightly greater than 60 per cent. And in the university research and health care sectors, about 70 per cent of the respondents indicated general satisfaction with their jobs.

Among the primary work activities, respondents holding teaching or administrative positions were most satisfied.

Slightly more than 80 per cent of the respondents involved primarily in either of these activities were satisfied with their positions. Although more than 75 per cent of the respondents holding research or development positions were satisfied with their jobs, the level of satisfaction varied greatly among employment sectors. More than 85 per cent of the respondents with research or development positions in the university teaching or government employment sector claimed to be generally satisfied; in contrast, only 52 per cent of the respondents with such

positions in industry and slightly less than 70 per cent of the respondents in the university research sector expressed overall satisfaction.

In excess of 80 per cent of the health science, education and social science graduates expressed overall satisfaction with their jobs. In contrast, about 70 per cent of the humanities, engineering and physical science graduates indicated general satisfaction. Although humanities graduates working in the university teaching sector were somewhat more satisfied than their counterparts in the non-university sectors, even their level of satisfaction in the university teaching sector was lower than that of graduates from most other disciplines working in this sector. Only slightly more than 70 per cent of the humanities graduates employed in the university teaching sector claimed to be satisfied with their jobs; comparable figures for education and social science graduates were 100 and 86 per cent respectively. Graduates in the physical sciences also expressed some dissatisfaction with the university teaching sector, as well as the industrial sector. In the former, 72 per cent indicated general satisfaction, while in the latter only 56 per cent claimed to be satisfied. And in the case of engineering graduates, slightly less than 70 per cent of those employed by industry, the largest employer of engineers, expressed overall satisfaction with their jobs.

TABLE 4.1

OVERALL JOB SATISFACTION BY EMPLOYMENT SECTOR

Employment Sector	Total Number of Employed Graduates	Number of Satisfied or Very Satisfied Graduates	Per Cent of Satisfied or Very Satisfied Graduates
University Research	39	27	69.2
University Teaching	207	171	82.6
Total University Sector	246	198	80.5
Other Educational Level	24	19	79.2
Health Care	39	28	71.8
Government	77	61	79.2
Industry	49	30	61.2
Self-Employed and Other	22	20	90.9
Total Non-University Sector	211	158	74.9
TOTAL	457	356	77.9

TABLE 4.2

OVERALL JOB SATISFACTION BY FIELD OF STUDY

Field of Study	Total Number of Employed Graduates	Number of Satisfied or Very Satisfied Graduates	Per Cent of Satisfied or Very Satisfied Graduates
Education	44	38	86.4
Fine and Applied Arts	3	3	100.0
Humanities	7 5	51	68.0
Social Sciences	163	136	83.4
Agricultural and Biological Sciences	23	18	78.3
Engineering and Applied Sciences	42	30	71.4
Health	20	18	90.0
Mathematics and Physical Sciences	87	62	71.3
TOTAL	457	356	77.9

TABLE 4.3

OVERALL JOB SATISFACTION BY PRIMARY WORK ACTIVITY

Primary Work Activity	Total Number of Employed Graduates	Number of Satisfied or Very Satisfied Graduates	Per Cent of Satisfied or Very Satisfied Graduates
Research and Development	135	103	76.3
Administration	40	.33	82.5
Teaching	197	161	81.7
Other	85	59	69.4
TOTAL	457	356	77.9

TABLE 4.4

OVERALL JOB SATISFACTION BY EMPLOYMENT SECTOR AND PRIMARY WORK ACTIVITY

, ————————————————————————————————————					PRI	PRIMARY WORK ACTIVITY	ACTIV	YII/							
	Research and Development	Lopme	and	Admi	nist	Administration	T	Teaching	ng		Other			Total	
Employment sector	米 国	*	% \\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	臼	ß	% W	ш	W	% N	田	W	% \(\times \)	田	ß	o/o M
Univ. Research	35 2	24	68.6	Н		100.0	I			m	2	66.7	39	27	69.2
Univ. Teaching	27 2	27	92.6	Н	\vdash	100.0	178	144	80.9	Н	Н	100.0	207	171	82.6
Total Univ. Sector	62 4	49	79.0	7	2	100.0	178	144	80.9	4	m	75.0	246	198	80.5
Other Ed. Level	i			m	7	66.7	2	H	84.6	00	9	75.0	24	19	79.2
Health Care	∞	9	75.0	9	Ŋ	83.3	H	\vdash	100.0	24	16	66.7	39	28	71.8
Government	40	34	85.0	12	10	83.3	2	7	100.0	23	15	65.2	77	61	79.2
Industry	23]	12	52.2	9	7	77.8	-	H	100.0	16	10	62.5	49	30	61.2
Self-employed & Other	7	2	100.0	00	7	87.5	2	2	100.0	10	0	0.06	22	20	90.9
Total Non-Univ. Sector	73 5	54	74.0	38	31	81.6	19	17.	89,5	87	56	69.1	211	152	77.0
Total	135 103	03	76.3	40	m m	82.5	197	161	81.7	ω ω	29	694	457	356	77.9

* E = Number Employed

S = Number Satisfied

[%]S = Per Cent Satisfied

OVERALL JOB SATISFACTION BY EMPLOYMENT SECTOR AND FIELD OF PH.D. (Percentage responding "very satisfied" and "satisfied") (Residing in Canada)

	University Research	University Teaching	Total University	Other Educational Level	Health	Government	Industry	Self- Emp. & Other	Total Outside University	Total
Education	80.0	100.0	95.8	0.09	60.0	75.0	N.A.	100.0	75.0	86.4
Fine and Applied Arts	N.A.	100.0	100.0	N.A.	N. A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	100.0
Humanities	100.0	72.3	73.5	57.1	N.A.	50.0	25.0	77.8	57.7	0.89
Social Sciences	50.0	85.9	83.7	100.0	77.8	86.4	7.99	100.0	83.1	83.4
Agricultural and Biological Sciences	0.09	100.0	77.8	100.0	0.0	81.8	100.0	N.A.	78.6	78.3
Engineering and Applied Sciences	. 66.7	75.0	72.7	100.0	50.0	75.0	68.4	100.0	71.0	71.4
Health	100.0	6.88	91.7	N.	66.7	100.0	100.0	N.A.	78.	0.06
Mathematics and Physical Sciences	66.7	72.0	70.0	100.0	100.0	77.3	55.6	100.0	72.3	71.3
Total	69.5	85.6	80.4	79.2	71.:	73.2	61.2	90.9	74.9	77.9
		The second secon	The second state of the second							

Tables 4.1 to 4.5 indicate the levels of overall job satisfaction by employment sector, field of study, and primary work activity.

The following sections examine some of the problem areas with respect to job satisfaction. The problem areas discussed are:

- (i) the university research sector
- (ii) the industrial sector
- (iii) humanities and physical science graduates in the university teaching sector.

Job Satisfaction in the University Research Employment Sector
Slightly less than 70 per cent of the respondents working in
the university research employment sector expressed overall satisfaction with their jobs. This relatively low level of job
satisfaction would seem to be caused mainly by dissatisfaction
with salary levels and job security; the vast majority of respondents were quite pleased with the nature of their work.

Table 4.6, following, highlights some aspects of job satisfaction in the university research sector. As this Table illustrates, the respondents found their jobs suitable for someone with a Ph.D. and were satisfied with the opportunities for research. Only 3 per cent of the respondents did not find their jobs suitable for someone with their level of education, and just 10 per cent were dissatisfied with the opportunities for research. However, 77 per cent were concerned with job

security, and 64 per cent expressed dissatisfaction with their earnings.

Compared to other employment sectors, the university research sector does have lower salary levels. For example, almost 80 per cent of full-time employees in the university research section were earning less than \$20,000 per year, while only 31 per cent of the respondents in other employment sectors were earning less than \$20,000. Since respondents in the university research sector have no doubt compared their earnings to those of their peers, it is no surprise that they express a large measure of dissatisfaction with their earnings.

Despite the relatively low level of overall job satisfaction in the university research sector, this should not necessarily be considered an acute problem. In absolute terms, the level of satisfaction would seem to be reasonably high; seven of every ten graduates working in this sector were generally satisfied. And, although relatively poorly paid, the graduates in this sector do not seem to feel underemployed or dissatisfied with the research opportunities being made available to them. What should be of concern is what happens to these respondents following completion of their post-doctoral research at the universities. At this stage in their careers, these graduates do not yet seem to be disillusioned with either university work or research. More than 60 per cent still aspire

ASPECTS OF JOB SATISFACTION IN THE UNIVERSITY RESEARCH SECTOR

(i)	SUITABILITY OF EMPLOYMENT GIVEN RESPONDENTS EDUCATION
	Definitely Suitable: 53.8 %
	Suitable in Some Respects: 41.0 %
	Definitely Not Suitable: 2.6 %
	No Response: 2.6 %

)		ASPECT OF	JOB	
DEGREE OF SATISFACTION	OVERALL FEELING	OPPORTUNITIES FOR RESEARCH	SALARY	JOB SECURITY
Very Satisfied	23.1 %	48.7 %	7.7 %	5.1 %
Satisfied	46.2 %	35.9 %	25.6 %	15.4 %
Somewhat Dissatisfied	28.2 %	7.7 %	38.5 %	20.5 %
Very Dissatisfied	0.0 %	2.6 %	25.6 %	56.4 %
No Response	2.6 %	5.1 %	2.6 %	2.6 %

(iii)		OF FULL-TIME EMPLOYEES RESEARCH SECTOR
	INCOME RANGE	PER CENT OF FULL-TIME EMPLOYEES
	Less than \$10,000	2.9 %
	\$10,000 - \$14,999	50.0 %
	\$15,000 - \$19,999	26.5 %
	\$20,000 - \$24,999	14.7 %
	\$25,000 - \$29,999	2.9 %
	\$30,000 - \$34,999	2.9 %
	\$35,000 or more	0.0 %

to a university appointment, and another 15 per cent would like a research appointment outside the university sector. As long as future employment opportunities for these graduates are "suitable", neither society, nor the graduates themselves, would be likely to consider in retrospect the period of post-doctoral research at universities as unsatisfactory.

Job Satisfaction in Industry

Of any employment sector, the industrial sector has yielded the lowest level of job satisfaction to the Ph.D. graduates. Four of every ten graduates working in this sector expressed overall dissatisfaction with their jobs. And particularly noticeable is the level of overall dissatisfaction of those graduates working primarily in research or development activities; five of every ten graduates involved in these activities indicated general dissatisfaction with their jobs.

For each employment condition listed in the questionnaire, a substantial proportion of respondents expressed dissatisfaction. Most concern was expressed about the opportunities to use specialized knowledge gained in doctoral study, the opportunities for research, and the opportunities for keeping up with developments relating to their doctoral studies. For each of these aspects of employment, between 50 and 60 per cent of the respondents were dissatisfied. Least dissatisfaction was expressed about the educational level of colleagues, job security, the challenge of the work, and job status. About 33 per cent of the respondents were dissatisfied with these aspects of employment.

Respondents who overall were dissatisfied with their employment tended to be dissatisfied with almost all aspects of their jobs. Very dissatisfied respondents indicated, on average, dissatisfaction with eight of the ten aspects of employment listed in the questionnaire; somewhat dissatisfied graduates expressed, on average, dissatisfaction with seven of the ten job characteristics. In contrast, the comparable figures for respondents who were satisfied or very satisfied overall were 3.5 and 1.5 respectively.

Although respondents expressing overall dissatisfaction with their jobs tended to be dissatisfied with almost every aspect of their jobs it would appear that some employment conditions were more likely to lead to overall dissatisfaction than others.

Research opportunities, for example, may be a more important determinant of overall satisfaction than earnings. Of the respondents who were not satisfied with either their earnings or research opportunities, 82 per cent claimed overall dissatisfaction with their jobs. Almost 60 per cent of the respondents who were satisfied with their salaries but disappointed with their research opportunities expressed overall dissatisfaction. However, only 12.5 per cent of those respondents who were satisfied with their research opportunities, but dissatisfied with their earnings, were dissatisfied overall.

With respect to research opportunities it appears that problems

TABLE 4.7

JOB SATISFACTION IN INDUSTRY (Residing in Canada)

		Degi	cee of Satisfac	tion (%)	
Aspect of Job	Very Satisfied	Satisfied	Somewhat Dissatisfied	Very Dissatisfied	No Response
Overall Feeling	28.6	32.7	28.6	8.2	2.0
Salary/Earnings	10.2	49.0	30.6	8.2	2.0
Status	14.3	49.0	28.6	6.1	2.0
Influence on Decisions	18.4	32.7	24.5 .	20.4	4.1
Educational Level of Colleagues	12.2	53.1	22.4	10.2	2.0
Promotion Prospects	14.3	34.7	32.7	14.3	4.1
Opportunity to use specialized knowledge	16.3	26.5	26.5	28.6	2.0
Opportunities for research	12.2	30.6	18. 4	32.7	6.1
Opportunities for keeping up with developments	4.1	28.6	24.5	34.7	8.2
Job Security	10.2	53.1	12.2	20.4	4.1
Challenge of job	32.7	28.6	22.4	12.2	4.1

for graduates working in industry exist in terms of both the quantity and quality of these opportunities. Among those respondents who, in neither their primary nor secondary work activity were involved in research or development, almost 75 per cent expressed dissatisfaction with research opportunities. For these respondents it would seem that it was the absolute lack of opportunities which caused their dissatisfaction. However, even among those respondents whose primary or secondary work activity was related to research or development, considerable dissatisfaction about research opportunities was evident. Forty-five per cent of these graduates indicated dissatisfaction with their research opportunities. For these graduates, it would seem more likely that it was the quality or nature of the research opportunities which was causing their dissatisfaction, rather than the sheer lack of opportunities.

Table 4.7 summarizes the responses of graduates employed in industry to questions concerning the extent of their satisfaction in various aspects of their jobs.

Job Satisfaction of Humanities and Physical Science Graduates In University Teaching

Graduates in the humanities and physical sciences indicated less overall satisfaction with university teaching jobs than did graduates of other fields of study. The major problems confronting humanities and physical science graduates would seem to be job security and career prospects. These graduates were twice as

likely as other graduates to be very dissatisfied with their job security; 37.5 per cent of the humanities and physical science graduates were very dissatisfied with their job security, while 19.3 per cent of graduates of other fields of study were very dissatisfied. In terms of career prospects, about one-third of the humanities and physical science graduates were very dissatisfied, while less than 10 per cent of other graduates employed in the university teaching sector expressed similar dissatisfaction.

This dissatisfaction of humanities and physical science graduates may be explained largely by the types of appointments and contracts many of these graduates received at universities. Almost 30 per cent of the humanities graduates, and more than 40 per cent of the physical science graduates had terminating contracts; for graduates of other fields of study the comparable figure in the university teaching sector was 18.5 per cent. And 25.5 per cent of humanities graduates held part-time positions, as compared to only 6.3 per cent of graduates from other fields. Table 4.8 shows by field of study the percentage of graduates employed in the university teaching sector with part-time and/or contractually limited positions.

The dissatisfaction of the humanities and physical science graduates was not confined only to job security and career prospects. In almost every aspect of university teaching jobs these

TABLE 4.8

PART-TIME AND CONTRACTUALLY LIMITED EMPLOYMENT IN UNIVERSITY TEACHING SECTOR BY FIELD OF DOCTORAL STUDY

Field of Study	Per Cent Employed Part-Time	Per Cent Contractually Limited
Education (N=19)	0.0	21.1
Fine and Applied Arts (N=3)	0.0	0.0
Humanities (N=47)	25.5	29.8
Social Sciences (N=92)	7.6	17.4
Life Sciences (N=4)	0.0	25.0
Engineering (N=8)	0.0	0.0
Health Sciences (N=9)	11.1	44.4
Physical Sciences (N=25)	8.0	40.0
Total (N=207)	10.6	23.7

graduates displayed more dissatisfaction than other graduates. Examination of comments in the questionnaires suggests that many of the graduates with temporary or part-time appointments think of themselves as "second-class" members of the university community; to some degree they may view themselves as being on the periphery of academic life. Part-time employment seems to limit their research opportunities; although it is difficult to determine from the questionnaires, one would suspect that many part-time university teachers hold second jobs which reduce the time available for research. And their teaching load is often heavily laden with introductory courses which, in many cases, may not provide them with sufficient challenges.

Employment prospects outside the university sector for humanities and physical science graduates probably tend to intensify the overall dissatisfaction of those graduates with little job security at universities. Given the dissatisfaction of physical science graduates in industry, particularly of those involved in research and development activities, and the freeze by many governments on hiring, it seems unlikely that physical science graduates with temporary or part-time university appointments would view the non-university sector as very attractive. To humanities graduates in similar positions, the non-university sector may seem even less attractive. Both the demand for their services outside the university sector and the level of satisfaction of those humanities graduates employed in the non-university sectors

are lower than those experienced by other graduates.

Appendix B contains tables which show by field of study the degree of satisfaction that respondents in the university teaching sector have with various aspects of their jobs.

SUMMARY OF ASPECTS OF JOB SATISFACTION

Table 4.9 indicates by employment sector, the number and percentage of respondents who are very satisfied or satisfied with various conditions of their employment. To a large extent the data in this table seems to reflect the obvious, namely:

- (i) employment sectors differ in the opportunities they offer Ph.D. graduates;
- (ii) very few jobs, no mater which employment sector one examines, are satisfactory in all respects; and
- (iii) individuals differ in their employment expectations.

The data suggest that graduates primarily concerned with research opportunities or challenging work would be best advised to seek employment in the university research sector; however job security and earnings would be relatively low in this sector.

Graduates most concerned with earnings and job security would be more likely to be satisfied, initially, at least, in the government sector; however, the perceptions that graduates in the government have about their future career prospects would indicate that, despite their current satisfaction with earnings and

TABLE 4.9

ASPECTS OF JOB SATISFACTION BY EMPLOYMENT SECTOR Number and Percentage of Graduates who Are "Very Satisfied" or "Satisfied"

							EMPLOYN	EMPLOYMENT SECTOR	FOR					-		
	Unive	University	Ilnive	University	Other	Other										
ASPECT	Research	arch	Teaching	ning	Level	1	Healt	Health Care	Cove	Covernment	Indu	Industry	0	Other	Total	
	No.	90	No.	90	No.	qlo	No.	0/0	No.	0/0	No.	90	No.	1 00	No.	4 %
Overall Feelings																
Toward Job	27	69.2	171	82.6	19	79.2	28	71.8	61	79.2	30	61.2	20 9	6.06	356	77.9
Salary/Earnings	13	33.3	118	57.0	16	66.7	24	61.5	99	72.7	29	59.2	12 5	54.5	268	58.6
Status/Rank or Position	21	53.8	158	76.3	15	62.5	28	71.8	54	70.1	31	63.3	14 6	63.6	321	70.2
Influence on Decisions	22	56.4	135	65.2	14	58.3	25	64.1	45	58.4	25	51.0	18 8	81.8	284	62.1
Educational Level of Colleagues	32	82.1	176	85.0	19	79.0	28	71 B	23	a a	SE	2 33	7 71	,	000	
		(1 1	I (0		2		7		. 7	200	. 1 • 00
riomotion/career Aspects	71	30.8	128	8.13	13	54.2	19	48.7	30	39.0	24	49.0	ω	36.4	234	51.2
Opportunity to Use Special Knowledge	32	82.1	162	78.3	10	41.7	29	74.4	53	89	21	42.9	16 7	72.7	323	70.7
Opportunities for Research	33	84.6	142	68.6	7	29.5	25	64.1	42	54.5	21	42.9	14 6	63.6	284	62.1
Keeping up with Developments	24	61.5	157	75.8	ω	33,3	25	64.1	36	50.6	16	32.7	12 5	54.5	281	61.5
Job Security	ω	20.5	112	54.1	16	66.7	28	71.8	52	67.5	33	63.3	14 6	63.6	261	57.1
Challange of Job	34	87.2	169	81.6	15	62.5	29	74.4	59	9.97	30	61.2	19 8	86.4	355	7.77

job security, they do not believe that the government sector provides much scope for advancement. Graduates desiring opportunities to apply their specialized knowledge, and to keep abreast of developments in their field of study would seem to have the best chance to do so in the university teaching sector; however, concern about job security would be relatively high in this sector.

Differences among individuals in employment expectations are evident in comparing the job satisfaction data of graduates employed in industry to that of graduates employed in the non-university educational sector. Recall from the earlier discussions of job satisfaction in industry that research opportunities appeared to adversely affect the overall feeling graduates employed in this sector had about their jobs. But, in the non-university educational sector, in which less than 30 per cent of the graduates were satisfied with research opportunities, almost 80 per cent of the graduates expressed overall satisfaction with their jobs.

The data in Table 4.9 give the impression that the Ph.D. graduates are for the most part reasonably satisfied with their current employment, but rather pessimistic about their future career prospects. On the one hand, when taking all aspects of their jobs into consideration, slightly more than 75 per cent of the respondents indicated that they were either satisfied or

very satisfied; in addition, more than 75 per cent of the respondents also indicated that they were satisfied with the challanges that their jobs presented. On the other hand, however, only slightly more than 50 per cent of the respondents expressed satisfaction with their career and/or promotion prospects and only 57 per cent were satisfied with their current job security.

Such results point to the limitations of any employment survey of recent graduates. Both society and the degree recipients themselves treat, to some extent, education as an investment. As with most investments, the time period over which benefits are derived is quite lengthy. In the case of Ph. D. graduates, benefits may be derived for 30 - 35 years, depending on the length of their careers; so at this early date conclusions by either policy makers or the graduates in this survey about job satisfaction are premature. Expression of job satisfaction, or lack of it, may be unduly affected by general pessimism about what the future holds.

With the above qualification in mind, one area that should be further examined in future studies is the relationahip between graduate training and employment in industry. The job satisfaction data indicate that recent Ph.D. graduates employed in industry are less satisfied than graduates in

other employment sectors with almost all aspects of their jobs. The results of this survey suggest that research opportunities may be the factor contributing most to the overall dissatisfaction with employment in industry; however, the survey results provide little insight into why, in many cases, research opportunities are unsatisfactory. Understanding the nature of the relationship between graduate training and employment in industry may become increasingly important given employment trends in government and universities.

Appendix C contains detailed tables which indicate the overall job satisfaction of the respondents; in these tables respondents are classified according to their field of study, employment sector, and primary work activity.

SECTION 5

RECOMMENDATIONS

The findings of this survey suggest three major areas which warrant further examination. These are: (1) the feasibility of providing prospective Ph.D. candidates with national projections of demand for university faculty; (2) the link between doctoral programs and manpower requirements of industry; and (3) the effects of declining enrolments in the humanities.

(1) Faculty Projections

Many studies, for example, those of Richard Freeman, indicate that students do respond to market conditions when selecting their educational programs. Inadequate information about employment conditions limits, however, the ability of students to make appropriate educational and career decisions. To reduce the likelihood of an increasing imbalance between the number of Ph.D. candidates desiring a university appointment and the number of openings at universities, the possibility of providing potential Ph.D. candidates with national forecasts of demand for university faculty should be explored. In exploring this possibility, consideration should be given to: (a) which groups would undertake to produce these forecasts and the manner of funding these bodies; (b) the expected and tolerable range of error in 5 to 10 year forecasts of national demand for university faculty; and (c) the means of disseminating such forecasts to prospective Ph.D. candidates.

¹ See: R.A. Freeman, The Market for College-Trained Manpower, Harvard University Press, 1971

(2) Strengthening the link between Doctoral Programs and Manpower Requirements of Industry

Employers in industry increasingly may find Ph.D. graduates approaching them for jobs in light of constraints on hiring by governments and universities. Will demand by industry for Ph.D. graduates increase sufficiently to compensate for the reduction in employment opportunities in other sectors? And, if demand does increase, will the Ph.D. graduates obtain jobs which utilize their skills and provide them with reasonable levels of job satisfaction? Positive answers to both of these questions are required if current enrolments in many Ph.D. programs are to be sustained and Canada's industrial research capabilities are to be enhanced. According to the results of this survey, industry presently hires relatively few Ph.D. graduates, and those graduates hired by industry tend to experience relatively low levels of job satisfaction. At this stage, then, it would not appear that favourable responses to these questions are very probable.

In an attempt to improve the relationships between universities and industry, a detailed analysis of both the doctoral and work experiences of graduates employed in industry should be undertaken. In conducting this analysis, industrial employers, academics, and Ph.D. graduates should be involved. The analysis should address questions such as:

- (a) What types of skills does industry require?
- (b) Where and how are these skills best developed?

Could, for example, industrial employers become more involved in the development of engineering and science thesis topics? Or, could some students benefit by serving their graduate assistantships in industry?

(c) Would it be beneficial for some Ph.D. programs to adopt a co-operative format similar to that at the University of Waterloo?

(3) Preserving the Humanities

uates decline further, those affected most adversely will likely be graduates in the humanities. The survey results reveal that these graduates experienced more difficulties in finding jobs, and less job satisfaction, than did graduates in other fields of study. Given these results, one must be concerned about the effects of possible future reductions in enrolments in humanities programs at all levels (i.e., undergraduate and graduate levels). Although, from an individual's point of view, the decision not to enrol in a humanities program may be correct, the costs to society of large numbers of potential students making this decision may be very high.

At the very least, attempts should be made to ensure that a minimum core of high quality humanities programs remains in place in Ontario. Consideration should be given to:

(a) eliminating some humanities programs and strengthening

others to counteract the dilution in quality that might occur as a result of reduced enrolments; (b) the creation of more scholarships, both at the undergraduate and graduate levels, for students enrolling in humanities programs; (c) the development of protracted post-doctoral fellowships in the humanities (e.g., fellowships which guarantee employment for up to 5 years); and (d) government assistance for employers outside the university sector who create openings that are suitable for humanities graduates. These suggestions need not imply increases in total expenditures by governments.

Rather, they should entail increases in public expenditures per person specializing in the humanities.

APPENDIX A

BACKGROUND CHARACTERISTICS OF RESPONDENTS



TABLE A-1

RESPONSE RATE BY UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY	POPULATION SIZE	RESPONSE SIZE	RESPONSE RATE
Carleton	27	22	81.5
Guelph	34	21	61.8
McMaster	95	56	58.9
Ottawa	67	55	82.1
Queens	59	42	71.2
Toronto	347	220	63.4
Waterloo	90	61 .	67.8
Western	78	60	76.9
Windsor	24	. 11	45.8
York	56	39 ——	69.6
Total	877	587	66.9

Area of Specialization	Male	Female	. Total
of Doctoral Study	8		%
Educational Psychology	1.7	7.2	2.7
Educational Administration	1.3	2.7	1.5
Other Education	3.6	3.6	3.6
TOTAL IN EDUCATION	6.5	13.5	7.8
TOTAL IN FINE AND APPLIED ARTS	0.6	0.9	0.7
History	4.2	3.6	4.1
English	4.2	12.6	5.8
Philosophy	2.1	3.6	2.4
Other Humanities	4.4	11.7	5.8
TOTAL IN HUMANITIES	14.9	31.5	18.1
			3 0
Anthropology	1.3	0.9	1.2
Business Administration	1.7	0.0	1.4
Economics	3.4	0.0	2.7
Geography	2.5	0.9	2.2
Political Science	3.4	2.7	3.2
Psychology	12.0	17.1	12.9
Sociology	3.6	1.8	3.2
Other Social Sciences	3.2	6.3	3.7
TOTAL IN SOCIAL SCIENCES	30.9	29.7	30.7
	0.0	0 0	0.9
Agriculture	0.8	0.9	1.2
Biochemistry	0.8	2.7	
Biology	1.9	2.7	2.0
Botany	1.7	1.8	0.7
Other Agriculture and Biological Sciences	0.6	0.9 9.9	8.0
TOTAL IN AGRICULTURE AND BIOLOGICAL SCIENCES	7.6	9.9	0.0
	3.2	1.8	2.9
Chemical Engineering	3.2	0.0	2.6
Electrical Engineering	0.6	1.8	0.9
Mechanical Engineering	4.6	0.9	3.9
Other Engineering and Applied Sciences	11.6	4.5	10.2
TOTAL IN ENGINEERING AND APPLIED SCIENCES	11.0	4.5	10.2
TOTAL TIV MEDITAL	5.9	2.7	5.3
TOTAL IN HEALTH	5.9	En V I	3.0
Compate a Cajanga	1.5	0.9	1.4
Computer Science Mathematics	1.7	0.9	1.5
	8.2	3.6	7.3
Chemistry	3.4	0.0	2.7
Geology Physics	5.3	0.9	4.4
Other Mathematics and Physical Sciences	2.1	0.9	1.9
TOTAL IN MATHEMATICS AND PHYSICAL SCIENCES	22.1	7.2	19.3
TOTAL IN PATRICIANT TO AND PRICE SCIENCED			
N	476	111	587
IN	470	alle alle alle	307

TABLE A-3

AGE DISTRIBUTION OF PH.D. GRADUATES

AGE	MALE 8	FEMALE	TOTAL
26 - 30	19.5	14.4	18.6
31 - 35	51.9	45.9	50.8
36 - 40	18.1	23.4	19.1
41 - 45	4.6	7.2	5.1
46 - 50	2.9	6.3	3.6
51 - 60	1.9	1.8	1.9
Not Reported	1.1	0.9	1.0
	100.0	99.9	100.1
N	476	111	587

TABLE A-4

CURRENT RESIDENCE OF PH.D. GRADUATES

PLACE OF CURRENT RESIDENCE	MALE	FEMALE	TOTAL
Newfoundland	2.1	0.0	1.7
Prince Edward Island	0.2	0.0	0.2
Nova Scotia	4.0	4.5	4.1
New Brunswick	1.3	0.9	1.2
Quebec	7.8	1.8	6.6
Ontario	53.4	70.3	56.6
Manitoba	2.7	1.8	2.6
Saskatchewan	1.9	0.0	1.5
Alberta	5.3	2.7	4.8
British Columbia	2.7	3.6	2.9
Yukon	0.2	0.0	0.2
TOTAL FOR CANADA	81.5	85.6	82.3
UNITED STATES	8.4	11.7	9.0
REST OF WORLD	10.1	2.7	8.7
	100.0	100.0	100.0
N	476	111	587

TABLE A-5

CURRENT RESIDENCE AND VISA STATUS OF PH.D. GRADUATES

		Visa Status Non-Canadian Citizen Not		
Current Residence	Canadian <u>Citizen</u> #	Residing In Canada #	Landed Immigrant #	Total
Canada	419	N.A.	64	483
United States	17	36	N.A.	53
United Kingdom	1	5	N.A.	6
Other European Countries	4	1	N.A.	5
Australia and New Zealand	4	8	N.A.	12
Caribbean, Central and South America	. 1.	5	N.A.	6
Asia	1	13	N.A.	14
Africa	0	8	N.A.	8
Total	447	76	64	587



APPENDIX B

JOB SATISFACTION IN UNIVERSITY TEACHING



TABLE B-1

DEGREE OF SATISFACTION OF UNIVERSITY TEACHERS WITH EARNINGS BY DOCTORAL FIELD OF STUDY

Field of Study	Very Satisfied	Satisfied	Somewhat Dissatisfied	Very Dissatisfied	No Response
Education (N=19)	10.5%	57.9%	26.3%	5.3%	0.0%
Fine and Applied Arts (N=3)	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Humanities (N=47)	19.1%	40.4%	19.1%	21.3%	0.0%
Social Sciences (N=92)	19.6%	35.9%	34.8%	8.7%	1.1%
Life Sciences (N=4)	0.0%	25.0%	75.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Engineering (N=8)	12.5%	37.5%	37.5%	12.5%	0.0%
Health Sciences (N=9)	33.3%	33.3%	11.1%	22.2%	0.0%
Physical Sciences (N=25)	16.0%	36.0%	36.0%	12.0%	0.0%
Total (N=207)	18.4%	38.6%	30.4%	12.1%	0.5%

TABLE B-2

DEGREE OF SATISFACTION OF UNIVERSITY TEACHERS WITH STATUS OF POSITION BY DOCTORAL FIELD OF STUDY

Field of Study	Very Satisfied	Satisfied	Somewhat Dissatisfied	Very Dissatisfied	No Response
Education (N=19)	52.6%	47.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Fine and Applied Arts (N=3)	66.7%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Humanities (N=47)	23.4%	38.3%	25.5%	12.8%	0.0%
Social Sciences (N=92)	26.1%	54.3%	9.8%	6.5%	3.3%
Life Sciences (N=4)	25.0%	75.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Engineering (N=8)	25.0%	50.0%	25.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Health Sciences (N=9)	55.6%	22.2%	11.1%	11.1%	0.0%
Physical Sciences (N=25)	28.0%	36.0%	28.0%	4.0%	4.0%
Total		46.40	15.0%	6.8%	1.9%
(N=207)	30.0%	46.4%	15.0%	0.0.	1.600

TABLE B-3

DEGREE OF SATISFACTION OF UNIVERSITY TEACHERS WITH THEIR INFLUENCE ON DECISIONS BY DOCTORAL FIELD OF STUDY

Field of Study	Very Satisfied	Satisfied	Somewhat Dissatisfied	Very Dissatisfied	No Response
Education (N=19)	21.1%	63.2%	10.5%	5.3%	0.0%
Fine and Applied Arts (N=3)	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Humanities (N=47)	14.9%	40.4%	10.6%	27.7%	6.4%
Social Sciences (N=92)	17.4%	48.9%	20.7%	9.8%	3.3%
Life Sciences (N=4)	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Engineering (N=8)	50.0%	37.5%	0.0%	12.5%	0.0%
Health Sciences (N=9)	22.2%	33.3%	22.2%	11.1%	11.1%
Physical Sciences (N=25)	12.0%	40.0%	28.0%	20.0%	0.0%
Total (N=207)	17.4%	47.8%	16.9%	14.5%	3.4%

TABLE B-4

DEGREE OF SATISFACTION OF UNIVERSITY TEACHERS WITH EDUCATIONAL LEVEL OF COLLEAGUES

Field of Study	Very Satisfied	Satisfied	Somewhat Dissatisfied	Very Dissatisfied	No Response
Education (N=19)	26.3%	68.4%	5.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Fine and Applied Arts (N=3)	0.0%	33.3%	66.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Humanities (N=47)	38.3%	42.5%	14.9%	4.3%	0.0%
Social Sciences (N=92)	34.8%	52.2%	8.7%	2.2%	2.2%
Life Sciences (N=4)	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Engineering (N=8)	25.0%	37.5%	25.0%	12.5%	0.0%
Health Sciences (N=9)	44.4%	44.4%	0.0%	0.0%	11.1%
Physical Sciences (N=25)	44.0%	40.0%	16.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total (N=207)	35.7%	48.9%	11.6%	2.4%	1.4%

DEGREE OF SATISFACTION OF UNIVERSITY TEACHERS WITH PROMOTION/CAREER PROSPECTS BY DOCTORAL FIELD OF STUDY

Field of Study	Very Satisfied	Satisfied	Somewhat Dissatisfied	Very Dissatisfied	No Response
Education (N=19)	21.1%	63.2%	15.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Fine and Applied Arts (N=3)	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Humanities (N=47)	12.8%	31.9%	14.9%	36.2%	4.3%
Social Sciences (N=92)	15.2%	53.3%	17.4%	13.0%	1.1%
Life Sciences (N=4)	0.0%	25.0%	50.0%	25.0%	0.0%
Engineering (N=8)	12.5%	50.0%	37.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Health Sciences (N=9)	22.2%	66.7%	0.0%	0.0%	11.1%
Physical Sciences (N=25)	12.0%	36.0%	24.0%	28.0%	0.0%
Total					
(N=207)	15.0%	46.9%	18.4%	17.9%	1.9%

TABLE B-6

DEGREE OF SATISFACTION OF UNIVERSITY TEACHERS WITH OPPORTUNITIES TO USE SPECIALIZED KNOWLEDGE GAINED IN DOCTORAL STUDY

Field of Study	Very Satisfied	Satisfied	Somewhat Dissatisfied	Very Dissatisfied	No Response
Education (N=19)	57.9%	31.6%	5.3%	5.3%	0.0%
Fine and Applied Arts (N=3)	66.7%	0.0%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Humanities (N=47)	34.0%	25.6%	21.3%	19.1%	0.0%
Social Sciences (N=92)	45.7%	41.3%	4.3%	6.5%	2.2%
Life Sciences (N=4)	25.0%	75.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Engineering (N=8)	62.5%	25.0%	12.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Health Sciences (N=9)	55.6%	33.3%	0.0%	11.1%	0.0%
Physical Sciences (N=25)	32.0%	32.0%	24.0%	12.0%	0.0%
Total					
(N=207)	43.5%	34.7%	11.1%	9.7%	1.0%

DEGREE OF SATISFACTION OF UNIVERSITY TEACHERS WITH RESEARCH OPPORTUNITIES BY DOCTORAL FIELD OF STUDY

Field of Study	Very Satisfied	Satisfied	Somewhat Dissatisfied	Very Dissatisfied	No Response
Education (N=19)	36.8%	42.1%	15.8%	5.3%	0.0%
Fine and Applied Arts (N=3)	0.0%	66.7%	0.0%	33.3%	0.0%
Humanities (N=47)	21.3%	42.6%	21.3%	14.9%	0.0%
Social Sciences (N=92)	35.9%	34.8%	17.4%	8.7%	3.3%
Life Sciences (N=4)	0.0%	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Engineering (N=8)	50.0%	12.5%	37.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Health Sciences (N=9)	33.3%	55.6%	0.0%	11.1%	0.0%
Physical Sciences (N=25)	24.0%	36.0%	32.0%	8.0%	0.0%
Total (N=207)	30.4%	38.2%	20.3%	9.7%	1.4%

TABLE B-8

DEGREE OF SATISFACTION OF UNIVERSITY TEACHERS WITH OPPORTUNITIES FOR KEEPING UP WITH DEVELOPMENTS IN DOCTORAL FIELD OF STUDY

Field of Study	Very Satisfied	Satisfied	Somewhat Dissatisfied	Very Dissatisfied	No Response
Education (N=19)	31.6%	57.9%	10.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Fine and Applied Arts (N=3)	0.0%	66.7%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Humanities (N=47)	31.9%	29.8%	29.8%	8.5%	0.0%
Social Sciences (N=92)	34.8%	45.7%	13.0%	5.4%	1.1%
Life Sciences (N=4)	25.0%	25.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Engineering (N=8)	37.5%	62.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Health Sciences (N=9)	44.4%	44.4%	11.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Physical Sciences (N=25)	32.0%	36.0%	24.0%	8.0%	0.0%
Total (N=207)	33.3%	42.5%	18.4%	5.3%	0.5%

DEGREE OF SATISFACTION OF UNIVERSITY TEACHERS WITH JOB SECURITY BY DOCTORAL FIELD OF STUDY

Field of Study	Very Satisfied	Satisfied	Somewhat Dissatisfied	Very Dissatisfied	No Response
Education (N=19)	15.8%	47.4%	26.3%	10.5%	0.0%
Fine and Applied Arts (N=3)	33.3%	66.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Humanities (N=47)	12.8%	23.4%	23.4%	38.3%	2.1%
Social Sciences (N=92)	20.7%	39.1%	17.4%	20.7%	2.2%
Life Sciences (N=4)	25.0%	25.0%	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%
Engineering (N=8)	25.0%	50.0%	12.5%	12.5%	0.0%
Health Sciences (N=9)	11.1%	55.6%	11.1%	22.2%	0.0%
Physical Sciences (N=25)	16.0%	28.0%	20.0%	36.0%	0.0%
Total (N=207)	17.9%	36.2%	18.8%	25.6%	1.4%

TABLE B-10

DEGREE OF SATISFACTION OF UNIVERSITY TEACHERS WITH CHALLENGE OF JOB BY DOCTORAL FIELD OF STUDY

Field of Study	Very Satisfied	Satisfied	Somewhat Dissatisfied	Very Dissatisfied	No Response
Education (N=19)	63.2%	31.6%	5.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Fine and Applied Arts (N=3)	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Humanities (N=47)	34.0%	40.5%	19.1%	6.4%	0.0%
Social Sciences (N=92)	42.4%	41.3%	9.8%	4.3%	2.2%
Life Sciences (N=4)	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Engineering (N=8)	37.5%	25.0%	25.0%	12.5%	0.0%
Health Sciences (N=9)	44.4%	44.4%	0.0%	11.1%	0.0%
Physical Sciences (N=25)	28.0%	52.0%	12.0%	8.0%	0.0%
Total (N=207)	44.9%	36.7%	12.1%	5.3%	1.0%

APPENDIX C

JOB SATISFACTION BY
FIELD OF STUDY,
EMPLOYMENT SECTOR
AND PRIMARY WORK ACTIVITY



OVERALL JOB SATISFACTION OF EDUCATION GRADUATES BY EMPLOYMENT SECTOR AND PRIMARY WORK ACTIVITY

						PRIMARY WORK ACTIVITY	WORK A	CTIVI	TY						
	Res	earc	Research and Development	Admi	nist	Administration		Teaching	ing		Other	35		Total	
Employment Sector	* ¤	Ω *	% ₩	闰	W	% N	田	ß	% \Q	[t]	W	% N	臼	Ŋ	% W
Univ. Research	22	4	80.0	ı			1			1			Ŋ	4	80.0
Univ. Teaching	m	m	100.0	1			16	16	100.0	ı			19	19	100.0
Total Univ. Sector	ω	7	87.5	1			16	16	100.0	1			24	23	95.8
Other Educ. Level	1			7		50.0	7	7	50.0	-	Н	100.0	77	m	0.09
Health Care	٦		100.0	7	Н	50.0	ŀ			7		50.0	Ŋ	М	0.09
Government	1			H	Н	100.0	ı			m	2	66.7	4	c	75.0
Industry	1			ı			1			1			1		
Self-Employed & Other	1			8	m	100.0	H	Н	100.0	7	7	100.0	9	9	100.0
Total Non-Univ. Sector	-	Н	100.0	00	9	75.0	М	7	66.7	ω	9	75.0	20	15	75.0
Total	0	œ	© ⊗ ⊗	ω	v	75.0	19	18	94.7	Φ	9	75.0	44	80	86.4

* E = Number Employed

S = Number Satisfied or Very Satisfied

[%]S = Per Cent Satisfied or Very Satisfied

OVERALL JOB SATISFACTION OF HUMANITIES GRADUATES BY EMPLOYMENT SECTOR AND PRIMARY ACTIVITY

+															
				PF	IMAE	PRIMARY WORK ACTIVITY	CTIVI	TT							
	Rese	Research and Development	Research and Development	Admin	istr	Administration	T	Teaching	ng		Other	H		Total	H
Employment Sector	[x] *	ν κ	% (X)	田	Ŋ	% \Q	团	W	% \Q	臼	വ	% S	더	വ	% N
Univ. Research	H		100.0	ŀ			i			H		100.0	7	7	100.0
Univ. Teaching	Н	Н	100.0	1			46	33	71.7	ı			47	34	72.3
Total Univ. Sector	2	2	100.0	1			46	33	71.7	Н	Н	100.0	49	36	73.5
Other Educ. Level	1			Н	Н	100.0	4	М	75.0	2	0	0.0	7	4	57.1
Health Care	1			ı			ı			ı			1		
Government	ı			2	٦	50.0	1			4	7	50.0	9	m	25.0
Industry		0	0.0	М	0	0.0	1			2	Н	50.0	4	H	25.0
Self-Employed & Other	1			4	m	75.0	t			Ŋ	4	0.08	0	7	77.8
Total Non-Univ. Sector		0	0.0	ω	Ŋ	62.5	4	m	75.0	13	7	53.8	5.6	15	57.7
Total	m	2	66.7	ω	Ŋ	62.5	20	36	72.0	14	∞	57.1	75	51	0.89

* E = Number Employed

S = Number Satisfied or Very Satisfied

[%]S = Per Cent Satisfied or Very Satisfied

OVERALL JOB SATISFACTION OF SOCIAL SCIENCE GRADUATES BY EMPLOYMENT SECTOR AND PRIMARY WORK ACTIVITY

Research and Development Adminis E* S* %S* E S				
E* S* %S* E 5 2 40.0 - 16 15 93.8 1 21 17 81.0 1 -	h and Administration	Teaching	Other	Total
5 2 40.0 - 16 15 93.8 1 21 17 81.0 1 - 3 2 66.7 4 4 3 75.0 8 1 1 100.0 -	% %	因 20	正 の	五 公 %
16 15 93.8 1 21 17 81.0 1 -		ı	1 1 100.0	6 3 50.0
21 17 81.0 1 -		75 63 84.0	ŧ	92 79 85.9
		75 63 84.0	1 1 100.0	98 82 83.7
3 2 66.7 4 4 3 75.0 8 	ı	2 2 100.0	5 5 100.0	7 7 100.0
4 3 75.0 8 		1 1 100.0	19 14 73.7	27 21 77.8
- yed & Other 1 1 100.0		1 1 100.0	9 8 88.9	22 19 86.4
1 1 100.0	1	1 1 100.0	5 3 60.0	6 4 66.7
		ı	2. 2 100.0	3 3 0.0
Total Non-Univ. Sector 8 6 75.0 12 1	a populações de la compansa de la co	5 5 100.0	40 32 80.0	65 54 83.1
Total 29 23 79.3 13 13		80 68 85.0	41 33 80.5	163 136 83.4

* E = Number Employed

S = Number Satisfied or Very Satisfied

[%]S = Per Cent Satisfied or Very Satisfied

OVERALL JOB SATISFACTION OF AGRICULTURE AND BIOLOGICAL SCIENCE GRADUATES BY EMPLOYMENT SECTOR AND PRIMARY WORK ACTIVITY

					Щ	PRIMARY WORK ACTIVITY	WORK A	CTI	VITV							
	Rese	Research and	and													
Tomas compa	Deve	Development	ent	Admin	isti	Administration	Te	Teaching	ing		Other	Si		Total	1	
Emproyment Sector	*	₩ *	% \%	臼	Ŋ	% N	印	W	% N	臼	ß	% N	团	W	o/o N	
Univ. Research	4	m	75.0	ı			ı			П	0	0.0	ιΩ	m	0.09	
Univ. Teaching	ı			ı			. 4	4	100.0	1			4	4	100.0	
Total Univ. Sector	4	æ	75.0	1			4	4	100.0		0	0.0	0	7	7.7.8	
Other Educ. Level	t			1			Т	Н	100.0	1			Н	Н	100.0	
Health Care		0	0.0	1			1			ŀ			1			
Government	10	ω	80.0	ı			Н	Н	100.0	ı			11	0	81.8	
Industry	1			Н	Н	100.0	1			ı			Н	Н	100.0	
Self-Employed & Other	ı			1			ł			ı			I			
Total Non-Univ. Sector	11	ω	72.7	П	-	100.0	2	2	100.0	1			14	11	78.6	
Total	15	11	73.3	Н	Н	100.0	9	9	6 100.0	Н	0	0.0	23	18	78.3	
The state of the s		And the second second		-	-	The second name of the second na	The state of the s	The same of the same of								

*E = Number Employed

S = Number Satisfied or Very Satisfied

[%]S = Per Cent Satisfied or Very Satisfied

OVERALL JOB SATISFACTION OF ENGINEERING GRADUATES BY EMPLOYMENT SECTOR AND PRIMARY WORK ACTIVITY

				PR	IMAR	PRIMARY WORK ACTIVITY	ACTIVI	XI.							
Employment Sector	Resea	Research and Development	7. J.d.	Admin	istr	Administration	Te	Teaching	bu		Other	ı		Total	al
4	*	* W	% %	闰	W	% W	臼	W	% N	口	W	% N	Ħ	W	% N
Univ. Research	2	1 50	50.0	-	-	100.0	1			1			m	2	66.7
Univ. Teaching	ı			ı			œ	9	75.0	1			Φ	9	75.0
Total Univ. Sector	~	1 50	50.0	Н	Н	100.0	∞	9	75.0	ı			11	ω	72.7
Other Educ. Level	ı			11			Н	-	100.0	1			-	Н	100.0
Health Care	Н	1 100	100.0	1			ı			Н	0	0.0	7	П	50.0
Government	9	5 83	83.3	1			ı			2	\vdash	50.0	∞	9	75.0
Industry	11	7 63.6	9	m	2	66.7	1			72	4	80.0	19	13	68.4
Self-Employed & Other	ı		han killill er vi marmen	H	-	100.0	1			1			Н	~	100.0
Total Non-Univ. Sector	18 13	3 72.	7	4	co	75.0	гH	Н	100.0	ω	2	62.5	31	22	71.0
Total	20 14	1 70.	0.	Ŋ	4	0.08	0	7	77.8	œ	72	62.5	42	30	71.4
								-							

* E = Number Employed

S = Number Satisfied or Very Satisfied

[%]S = Per Cent Satisfied or Very Satisfied

OVERALL JOB SATISFACTION OF HEALTH SCIENCE GRADUATES BY EMPLOYMENT SECTOR AND PRIMARY WORK ACTIVITY

Employment Sector E*				PRI	MAKY WOR	PRIMARY WORK ACTIVITY	VITV							
	searc	Research and Development	Admir	istı	Administration	He	Teaching	19		Other	r		Total	a l
	ν *	0/0 ₹	Þ	Ø	% N	臼	w	% N	凹	W	% N	闰	W	% N
Univ. Research 3	m	100.0	т			1			1			m	0	100.0
Univ. Teaching 4	4	100.0	1			4	m	75.0	Н	Н	100.0	0	ω	88.9
Total Univ. Sector 7	7	100.0	1			4	m	75.0	Н	٦	100.0	12	11	91.7
Other Educ. Level -			ı			1			ı			1		
Health Care	Н	100.0	ı			ı			2	Н	50.0	m	7	66.7
Government 4	4	100.0	1			1		nder vigel Producen	1			4	4	100.0
Industry -			H	Н	100.0	ı			1			Н	~	100.0
Self-Employed & Other -			ı			1			1			1		
Total Non-Univ. Sector 5	5	100.0	H	Н	100.0	ı			2	Н	50.0	ω	7	87.5
Total 12	12	100.0	Н	H	100.0	4	m	75.0	m	7	66.7	20	18	0.06

* E = Number Employed

S = Number Satisfied or Very Satisfied

[%]S = Per Cent Satisfied or Very Satisfied

OVERALL JOB SATISFACTION OF MATHEMATICS AND PHYSICAL SCIENCE GRADUATES BY EMPLOYMENT SECTOR AND PRIMARY WORK ACTIVITY

(Residing in Canada)

					PRI	PRIMARY WORK ACTIVITY	K ACT	IVIT	7				1			
Employment Sector	Rese	Research and Development	and	Admir	istı	Administration	E	Teaching	ing		other	3r		Total	al	
	*	₩ *	% ₩	闰	W	% W	臼	W	% N	闰	Ø	% ()	印	W	% W	
Univ. Research	15	10	.99	1			1			ı			15	10	66.7	T
Univ. Teaching	m	7	66.7	1			22	16	72.7	1			25	18	72.0	
Total Univ. Sector	18	12	66.7	1			22	16	72.7	1			40	28	70.0	
Other Educ. Level	1			ı			m	m	100.0	1			m	m	100.0	
Health Care	Н	-	100.0	1			1			1			- 1			
Government	16	14	87.5	٦	Н	100.0	ı			5	7	40.0	22	17	77.3	
Industry	11	Ŋ	45.5	m	\sim	100.0	t			4	7	50.0	18	10	55.6	
Self-Employed & Other	Н	П	100.0	11			Н	-	100.0	\leftarrow	Н	100.0	m	\sim	100.0	
Total Non-Univ. Sector	29	21	72.4	4	4	100.0	4	7	100.0	10	Ŋ	50.0	47	34	72.3	
Total	47	۳ ش	70.2	4	4	100.0	26	20	70.9	10	ιΩ	50.0	87	62	71.3	

* E = Number Employed

S = Number Satisfied or Very Satisfied

[%]S = Per Cent Satisfied or Very Satisfied



APPENDIX D

SUITABILITY OF EMPLOYMENT TO EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND



SUITABILITY OF CURRENT EMPLOYMENT: "To what extent do you feel that your current employment is suitable for someone with your level of education?"

TABLE D-1

Number and Percentage responding "Definitely Suitable" by discipline and employment sector (Residing in Canada)

EMPLOYMENT SECTOR

SUITABILITY OF CURRENT EMPLOYMENT: "To what extent do you feel that your current employment is suitable for someone with your level of education?"

Number and Percentage responding "Definitely Suitable" by discipline and employment sector (Residing In Canada)

	Unive Teac	rsity hers		versity chers	To	otal
	No.	%	No.	-%	No.	%
Education	19 .	100.0	16	64.0	3 5	79.5
Fine and Applied Arts	3	100.0	N.	Α.	3	100.0
Humanities	36	76.6	. 5	17.9	41	54.7
Social Sciences	81	88.0	47	66.2	128	78.5
Agricultural and Biological Sciences	4	100.0	12	63.2	16	69.6
English and Applied Sciences	6	75.0	19	55.9	25	59.5
Health	8	88.9	9	81.8	17	85.0
Mathematics and Physical Sciences	16	64.0	30	48.4	46	52.9
All Disciplines	173	83.6	138	55.2	311	68.1

APPENDIX E

EARNINGS OF PH.D. RESPONDENTS



CURRENT INCOME OF PH.D. GRADUATES WORKING FULL-TIME AND RESIDING IN CANADA

	3 Sex
	and.
	Sector
	ovment
-	Emp]
	By
-	

INCOME RANGE	,							E M P	LOYMI	ENT	SEC	TOR							1
		Unive	University Research	University	University Teaching	Other Educa Level	Other Educational Level	Heal	Health Care	Gove	Government	Ind	Industry	Self-E	Self-Employed	Other	ler	Total	ia]
		No.	do l	No.	de	No.	90	No.	90	No.	90	No.	90	No.	do	No.	alo	No.	o40
Less than \$10,000	Z L H	0 1 1	10.0	H 0 H	0.0	7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	7.1 14.3 9.5	нон	4.0	0 H H	16.7	нон	2.2	0 H H	0.0	000	0.00	440	1.1
\$10,000 - \$14,999	ZHE	14	56.0 30.0 48.6	200	7.1	0 11	0.0	100	4.0	1001	1.3	7 0 7	4.3	000	0.00	7 7 7	9.1	26 7 33	7.3
\$15,000 - \$19,999	ΣĿΗ	420	16.0	37 15 52	23.6 53.6 28.1	3 1 2	14.3	884	8.0 18.2 11.1	13	18.3	14 0 14	30.4	101	20.0	7 7 2	45.5	78 26 104	22.0 37.7 24.6
\$20,000 - \$24,999	Z L H	1 2 2	16.0	75 9 84	47.8	4 - 1 2	28.6	10	54.5	32 2 34	45.1 33.3 44.2	12 0	26.1 0.0 25.0	000	0.0	707	18.2 0.0 14.3	139	39.3 27.5 37.4
\$25,000 - \$29,999	ZHH	404	4.0	22 22	3.6	ഗനയ	35.7 42.9 38.1	3	36.0	12 0 12	16.9 0.0 15.6	9 2 11	19.6 100.0 22.9	000	0.0	m 0 m	27.3	69	16.9 13.0 16.3
\$30,000 - \$34,999	ZFF	-0-	7.0	7 1 8	4.5	-0	7.1	707	8.0	88 79	11.3	404	8.3	0 11 1	0.0 50.0 14.3	000	0.0	23	6.5
Equal to or greater than \$35,000	Z L F	000	0.0	000	3.2	101	7.1	000	0.0	NON	7.0	707	4.3	404	80.0	000	0.0	18 0 13	5.0
Not reported	M F H	H 0 H	4.0	mom	1.9	000	0.00	000	0.0	000	0.0	2 0 2	4.3	000	0.0	000	0.0	vc	1.7
Total	Z L P	25 10 35	100.0	157 28 185	100.0	14 7 21	100.0	25 11 36	100.0	17.	100.0	46 2 48	100.0	7 7 2	100.0	11 3	100.0	354 69 423	100.0 100.0 100.0

TABLE E-2

Number and Percentage of PH.D. GRADUATES WHO ARE CURRENTLY EARNING LESS THAN \$20,000 PER YEAR by Discipline and Sex

(Full-Time Workers Residing in Canada)

	Ma	le	F	emale	То	tal
DISCIPLINE	No.	90	No.	%	No.	%
Education	5	17.9	5	41.7	10	25.0
Fine and Applied Arts	1	50.0	1	100.0	2	66.7
Humanities	16	'39.0	12	63.2	28	46.7
Social Sciences	20	15.6	. 9	36.0	29	19.0
Agriculture and Biological Sciences	13	72.2	4	80.0	17	73.9
Engineering and Applied Sciences	8	20.0	1	50.0	9	21.4
Health	3	18.8	2	100.0	5	27.8
Mathematics and Physical Sciences	42	51.9	3	100.0	45	53.5
Total	108	30.5	37	53.6	145	34.3

Statistics

Education, Science and Culture Division

SURVEY OF 1976 DOCTORAL DEGREE RECIPIENTS

(français au verso)

AUTHORITY: Statistics Act, Statutes of Canada 1970-71-72, Chapter 15 and applicable provincial statutes and regulations.

FEDERAL PROVINCIAL AGREEMENT TO SHARE INFORMATION

This survey is being conducted by Statistics Canada in co-operation with the provincial departments responsible for university education. It is being conducted to obtain data about the educational background and the employment experiences and aspirations of graduates who obtained their Ph.D. or equivalent earned doctoral degrees from Canadian universities in 1976.

The survey is conducted under the provisions of the Statistics Act, Section 11. Data reported may be made available to the department responsible for university education in the province where the degree was earned unless a respondent objects in writing to the Chief Statistician of Canada.

INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLETING THE QUESTIONNAIRE

Please answer all applicable questions by placing an "x" in the appropriate check box . If a write-in response is required, please print to ensure that your answer is legible. Do not write in shaded boxes.

Space is provided at the bottom of every page for comments or information if you feel it is necessary to clarify any of your responses.

When you have completed the questionnaire, please return it to Statistics Canada. A return envelope is enclosed for your convenience.

Did you complete the requirements for or obtain a Ph.D.	or equivalent
earned doctoral degree from a Canadian university in 1976?	
Yes Do to Question 2	

No \square^2 Do not answer any further questions.

Return the questionnaire using the enclosed envelope.

Thank you for your co-operation.

			-	2 –					
	ATION AND QUALIFICATIOn egrees, certificates and diplom		earned in the ord	der in v	hich they were ob	tained, starting v	with the first or	ne you r	eceived.
Degree title (abbreviated)	Major field of stu		Year study started		Year qualification obtained	Institu awan qualific	ition- ding		Country (if not Canada
Example:									
(i) B.Sc. (ii) M.Sc. (iii) Ph.D.	Chemistry Physics Physics		1955 1960 1972		1959 1962 1976	Queen's Oxford U. of Alberta	`	Englar	nd
(i)									
(ii)									
(iii)									
(iv)									
(v)									
(vi)									
(vii)									
B. What was the sub-spec					OF	FICE USE			
for your Ph.D. program		906		007		008		009	
Tor your raid. program		010		QII		012		013	
		014		015		016		017	
		018		019		920		021	
		0.2.2		023		024		025	
		026		927		028		029	
		030		031					
						032		033	
3. DURATION OF STUD	Y								
For how many months	were you enrolled at the univ	ercity	(i) On a full-	-time b	asis		034		
in your doctoral progra		cisity					035		
			(ii) On a part	t-time t	23is				
4. SOURCE OF FINANC! A. Which of the follow degree? (Check all the	ving sources of financial supp	ort did you	use to meet the	total	costs (living expens	ses, academic fee	s, etc.) incurre	ed in ear	ming your doct
(1) University fellow	vship or scholarship		036	1	(2) Non-university	fellowship or so	holarship		037
	yment including assistantship				(4) Non-academic				030
	butions		242		(6) Loans				
	3		242		(8) Other family				
·	tc.)				(o) Ouler raining	apport	0 0 0 0 0 0 0		
B. Identify the two sou	arces which provided you with	the most f		y inser	ting the appropria	te number (1 thre	ough 9) from q	uestion	
(i) Source providing	largest amount of financial su	pport	045		(ii) Source provid	ing second larges	t amount of fir	nancial s	upport 046

C. If you received non-university fellowship or scholarship assistance at any time during the course of your doctoral studies indicate the granting agency agencies. (Check more than one if necessary.)

(iv) National Research Council......

(vi) Other (specify)_____

050

OTIVATION FOR DOCTORAL STUDY o what extent was each of the factors listed below influential in making your decision to pursue a doctoral egree? Try to report your motivation as it was at the time you decided to pursue your doctoral degree.	15	The starting of	der des	Siringues -oi	Janua /
	<i>y</i>	/	4		Lucianianiani
(i) Offer of financial support (fellowship, scholarship, assistantship, etc.)		\Box^2	□3	4	
(ii) Expected improvement in future earnings		□ ²	□3	□4	
iii) Strong interest in discipline of study	□¹	□ ²	□3	□ ⁴	
(iv) Doctoral degree considered essential to employment aspirations		□ ²	□3	□4	
(v) Encouragement from university professors or professional colleagues		□²	□3	□4	
vi) Encouragement from family or friends		□²	□3	□4	
(describe briefly)	_1	\Box^2	□3	D4	
ATISFACTION WITH DOCTORAL PROGRAM Considering all aspects of your doctoral program, how satisfied are you with twith it? Very Very Very Very	he length	n of time	it took fe	or you to e	
Very Satisfied Satisfied Dissatisfied Dissatisfied Satisfied Satis		Dissat		Di	Very ssatisfied
MPLOYMENT ASPIRATIONS				Control Statement of the Control of	
At the time of registering for your doctoral program, what type of employment did you want to obtain after completing requirements for the degree? (Check one only) (i) University appointment (ii) Research appointment outside university. At the time of registering for your doctoral program, what type of employment aspended to the degree? (Check one only) Yes - before earning doctoral degrees.	degree		063	Go to question	
(iii) Other appointment outside university		• • • • •	. □3	Go to question	
(iv) No specific aspirations					
What are your current employment aspirations? (Check one only) D. Why did you revise your emplo (Check the most important only)	yment as	spirations?	065	- Commission of the Commission	- a state of publication
(i) University appointment	гсе		some A		
(ii) Research appointment outside university	type of e	mploymer	nt 🗆²		
(iii) Other appointment outside university	ne availab	le	. □3		
(iv) No specific aspirations			. 🗆4		
(v) Personal reasons			. 🗆 5		
(vi) Other (specify)					
(vi) Other (specify)			_ □6		

															-
8. EMPLOYMENT DURING DOCTORAL PROGRAM Were you employed at any time while you were registered in your doctoral	l progra	m?		Yes	066	Go to	o que	stion 9							
(Assistantships should be counted as employment)	· · · ·			No	□²	Go to	o que	stion 1	0					physiological states	TO SECURISH STATE
9. Check the type of employment held at any time during the year, while registered for your doctoral program, and circle the year or years in							YEA	R(S) J	OB HEI	LD .					
which each type of employment was held. Note: If employment was prior to 1971, enter the year.			ful	On l-time	a basi	5					par	On : t-time		S	
	-														
(a) Research assistantship									067	76	75	74	73	72	71
b) Teaching assistantship									068	76	75	74	73	72	71
(c) University research appointment — other than (a) above	069	76	75	74	73	72	71		070	76	75	74	73	72	71
d) University teaching appointment - other than (b) above	071	76	75	74	73	72	71		072	76	75	74	73	72	71
e) Other university appointment (e.g. library supervision, residence proctoring).	073	76	75	74	73	72	71		074	76	75	74	73	72	71
f) Community College level appointment.	075	76	75	74	73	72	71		076	76	75	74	73	72	71
g) Elementary/secondary level appointment	077	76	75	74	73	72	71		078	76	75	74	73	72	71
h) Employment in a hospital, clinic or other organized health care unit	079	76	75	74	73	72	71		080	76	75	74	73	72	71
(i) Employment in a government department or agency (excluding hospital personnel)	081	76	75	74	73	72	71		082	76	75	74	73	72	71
j) Employment in industry and/or commerce	083	76	75	74	73	72	71		084	76	75	74	73	72	71
k) Otherplease specify	085	76	75	74	73	72	71		086	76	75	74	73,	72	71
				-											
O. EMPLOYMENT IN UNIVERSITY TEACHING AT ANY TIME PRIOR TO If you were ever employed full-time in university teaching at any time pri university at which each was held and the duration of the appointment. Oth	rior to	obtaini	ng yo	our d	octor			give the	e rank o	f you	r firs	t and	last 1	positi	on, tł
First full-time position					**************************************		Las	st full-t	ime pos	ition					
		Same a	a fivo	Soll_	tima	noeiti.	200								091
		Jame 4	3 11436	. I WILL	umo	POSEL									
087							OR					092			
Rank - 088		Rank _										093			
nstitution		Institu	ion _												
Start date 089 month yes	ear	Start d	220							094	me	onth			ye
1 9		Jean G					• • • •			.000			1	9	
Fermination date		Termin (if appi								.095	me	onth	1	9	ye
Comments:															

	E RECEIPT OF DOCTORAL DEGREE Yes \Box^1 Go to question 11C e? No \Box^2 Go to question 11B	
B. Have you looked fo of time since receipt	r employment for the period to f your doctoral degree? No \square^2 Go to question 18	
C. Answer the followi employment. If you	ng questions with respect to the first employment you held since of theid or hold both full-time and part-time employment report for the fu	otaining your doctoral degree and then with respect to your currentl-time job.
	FIRST EMPLOYMENT	CURRENT EMPLOYMENT
	First employment held after receipt of doctoral degree. (Note: this employment may have started prior to obtaining your doctorate)	Same as first employment \square^1 Go to question 12 Not currently employed \square^2 Go to question 15
pe of appointment	098 Full-time □¹ Part-time □²	110 Full-time □¹ Part-time □²
Employer (enter "self" if self-employed)	Name of firm or organization	Name of firm or organization
and of husiness	Department, Branch, Division	Department, Branch, Division
ind of business re full description, rug manufacturing, al government, road construction,		
ment consulting, etc.)	099	111
e of work in which were engaged (e.g. emical research, eaching history, bridge design)	100	
	101	112
at work activities re related to your sition? (Check as nany as apply) a of these activi- s, in terms of ng hours spent, our primary and dary activities? er appropriate ode 0 to 9)	□ Research: basic, social or applied □ Development: product, process or technical □ Teaching and training — include student counselling □ Management or administration of research and development □ Other management or administration □ Report and technical writing, editing, information retrieval □ Statistical work: designing and conducting surveys, forecasting, analysis □ Consulting: professional, scientific, management □ Computer applications: programming, systems analysis □ Other (specify) Primary Secondary □ 103 Primary Secondary	Research: basic, social or applied
e of your job (e.g. actor of Chemical search, Assistant professor, etc.)		
	City/town 104	City/town 116
lace of work	Province (if Canada) 105	Province (if Canada) 117
	Country (if not Canada) 106	Country (if not Canada) 118
of appointment	107	119 19 year
of termination applicable)	108 19 vear	If contractually limited:

12. To what extent do you feel that your current employment is suitable for someone with your level of education?	Definitely suitable.					121
	Suitable in some resp	ects				🗆²
	Definitely not suitab					
13. At your present rate of income from your current employment, what would be your income on an annual basis? Do not include income from sources other than the current employment reported in question 11C. Individuals who are self-em-	Income range Less than 10,000					122
ployed should report anticipated net annual income before taxes.	10,000 - 14,999					🗆²
	15,000 - 19,999					□³
	20,000 - 24,999					□⁴
	25,000 - 29,999					🗆 5
	30,000 - 34,999					🗆 6
	35,000 or more					🗆 7
14. Express the degree of satisfaction or dissatisfaction you feel concerning various aspects of your current job.		/	Very	ied Satisfied	Somewha	fied Very diseatisfied
(i) Your overall feeling towards your job	123			□ ³	□ ⁴	
(ii) Your salary/earnings	124		□ ²	□3	□4	
(iii) The status/rank of your position	125	_1	□ ²	□3	□4	
(iv) The influence you have on decisions	126			□3	□*	
(v) The educational level of your colleagues	127		□ ²	□3	□4	
(vi) Promotion/career prospects of the job	128		□ ²	□3	□⁴	
(vii) Opportunity to use specialized knowledge gained in doctoral study	129		□²	□3	□4	
(viii) Opportunities for research	130		□²	□3	□4	
(ix) Opportunities for keeping up with developments in doctoral field of study	131		□²	□3	□4	
(x) Job security	132		□ ²	□3	□⁴	
(xi) Challenge of your job	133	□¹	□ ²	□3	□4	
15. After completing your doctoral program did you return to the same employer you had prior to commencing the program?	Yes			134 . □¹ Go t	o question	16
	No	emploved	previous		o question	
16. Have you looked for another position at any time since returning to your previous employer?	Yes					
	No			. □² Go t	o question	18
Comments:						

Which of the following techniques have y doctoral studies? (Check as many as apply.	ou used to look for employment	for the period of time following your	Methods used	Check if job offer was received as a result of each method used
(i) Received assistance or referrals from p	professors		136 🗀 1	146 🗀 1
(ii) Used university placement office			137 🗀 1	147 🗀 1
(iii) Answered advertisements in professional journals			138 🔲 1	148 🗀 1
(iv) Answered advertisements in national or international newspapers/periodicals			139 🔲 1	149 71
(v) Made application through government recruitment programs at university		140 🔲 1	150 🗀 1	
(vi) Contacted university departments directly		141 🗀 1	151 🗀 1	
(vii) Contacted government departments directly		142 🗀 1	152 1	
(viii) Contacted businesses or industries directly		143 🗀 1	153 🗀 1	
(ix) Received assistance or referrals from contacts at conferences, learned societies, etc.		144 🔲 1	154 🗀 1	
(x) Other (specify)			145 🗀 1	155 🗀 1
If you are currently employed, go to Questi	ion 19; otherwise continue	156		and the literature of the military and the state of the s
A. Is your non-employment voluntary?		Yes		
B. What is the main reason for your non-em	ployment? (Check one only.)			157
(i) Enjoying a period of vacation/leisur	e/travel or rest	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • •	
(ii) Attending to home/household dutie	s			
(iii) Unable to find a university appointr	nent in my field			
(iv) Unable to find work in my field out	side the university			
(v) Unable to find any work				Ds
(vi) Illness, accident or health reasons.		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
(vii) Other: please specify				□ ⁷
PERSONAL CHARACTERISTICS	158			
L Sex:	Male □¹	E. Country of birth:		
	Female □²			162
		Province (if Canada)		
3. Year of birth	159 1 9	Country (if not Canada)		163 September Se
. Mother tongue:	160	F. Country of current citizenship:	8	
(language first spoken and still understood)	English D¹	Canada		164 🔲 1
	French \square^2	Other country		165
	Other \square^3	(please specify and indicate vis	a status in Canada belo	166
	(specify)	a) Not currently residing in Ca	nada	
		b) Work permit		
. Were you married at the time of registration for your	Yes 161	c) Landed immigrant		D ³
doctoral program?	No □²	Year statu	immigrant 167	1 9
		d) Other temporary status in Canada (specify type of visa)		visa)
		Charifs	type of visa	and the contract of the second
nents:		Specify		

AUTHORIZATION FOR DATA RELEASE

The Councils listed below have requested data tapes from this survey for research purposes and have agreed to apply rules of confidentiality similar to those of the Statistics Act in release of their research reports. However, Statistics Canada will not release such a micro data tape containing your information unless you, the respondent, give authorization for such release.

Please indicate, therefore, which of the Councils, if any, you authorize to have access to your data file by placing your initials in the space provided beside each name.

Medical Research Council	 168 🗆 1
Natural Science and Engineering Research Council (formerly part of the National Research Council).	169 🗆 1
Social Sciences and Humanities Research Council (formerly part of the Canada Council)	 170 🗀 1

Comments:





